

Science Paper

Late Ediacaran to Early Cambrian Breakup Sequences and Establishment of the Eastern Laurentian Passive Margin, Newfoundland, Canada

Maya Soukup¹, Luke P. Beranek¹^a, Stefanie Lode^{1,2}, Dylan Goudie³, David Grant³

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, ² Department of Geoscience and Petroleum, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, ³ Core Research Equipment and Instrument Training Network, Memorial University of Newfoundland

Keywords: Detrital zircon geochronology, Appalachians, Rodinia, Laurentia, passive margins, Newfoundland

<https://doi.org/10.2475/001c.93038>

American Journal of Science

Vol. 324, 2024

Sediment provenance studies were conducted to constrain the establishment of the eastern Laurentian or Humber passive margin in Newfoundland, Canada, and examine models for the opening of the Iapetus Ocean and Humber Seaway. Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 strata of the lower Labrador and Curling groups contain garnet, muscovite, and feldspar, and yield 1000–1500 Ma detrital zircon grains that reflect local derivation from Grenville Province basement rocks during regional extensional deformation. Cambrian Series 2 to early Miaolingian units of the upper Labrador and Curling groups are quartz-rich and characterized by 556–586 Ma and 1000–2700 Ma detrital zircon grains that instead reflect continental-scale drainage and transition to passive margin deposition along eastern Laurentia. The geological relationships along the Humber margin are compared with modern analogues in the Newfoundland-west Iberia rift system to propose a magma-poor rift model that includes two breakup sequences which formed in response to isostatic adjustment after the rupture of crust and mantle, respectively. Crustal breakup resulted in an Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 breakup sequence that was connected to hyperextension, mantle exhumation, and bimodal magmatism. Mantle breakup likely occurred >20 Myr after first mantle exhumation and resulted in a breakup sequence that is best characterized by Cambrian Series 2 to early Miaolingian strata. The mantle breakup sequence consists of regressive-transgressive cycles that record the transition from breakup to thermal subsidence and was probably driven by the separation of the Dashwoods microcontinent from eastern Laurentia and outboard opening of west Iapetus. The Humber Seaway opened between the Humber margin and Dashwoods and was at least partially underlain by exhumed continental mantle. Our scenarios support hypotheses for equivalent magma-poor rift elements elsewhere in the Caledonian-Appalachian orogen, and we predict that crustal and mantle breakup sequences are exposed in the Scotland-Ireland and Quebec-New England segments of the eastern Laurentian margin.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is general consensus that the eastern margin of Laurentia resulted from Neoproterozoic extension and breakup of supercontinent Rodinia (e.g., Bradley, 2008; Hoffman, 1991; Li et al., 2008; Macdonald et al., 2023; Pisarevsky et al., 2003; all ages follow Cohen et al., 2013, v. 2023/09). However, the timing and plate tectonic processes responsible for the separation of eastern Laurentia from Baltica, Amazonia, and intervening terranes continue to be the subject of debate. One of the popular scenarios calls for Tonian to Ediacaran rift evolution to have culminated with *ca.* 570 Ma breakup and opening of Iapetus Ocean, followed by 540–535 Ma rifting along eastern Laurentia that featured

the dispersal of peri-Laurentian microcontinental blocks, opening of the Humber (Taconic) Seaway, and creation of the eastern Laurentian or Humber passive margin (fig. 1A, e.g., Cawood et al., 2001). Although some Neoproterozoic rift events may have involved mantle plume-like activity (e.g., Kamo et al., 1995; Tegner et al., 2019), late Ediacaran rift stages included depth-dependent extension (Allen et al., 2009, 2010; Thomas, 1991, 1993) that resulted in hyperthinned crust and exhumed continental mantle lithosphere analogous to that observed in modern magma-poor margins (Chew & van Staal, 2014; Macdonald et al., 2014; van Staal et al., 2013). van Staal et al. (2013) proposed that eastern Laurentian rift evolution included a hanging-wall block that developed into an isolated microcontinent, named

a corresponding author: lberanek@mun.ca

Dashwoods, outboard of the Humber Seaway during late Ediacaran hyperextension and mantle exhumation. The magma-poor rift model of van Staal et al. (2013) called for *ca.* 565–550 Ma exhumation processes in western Newfoundland to reflect ultra-slow spreading and opening of the Humber Seaway and concurrent development of a mid-ocean ridge system in west Iapetus on the outboard side of Dashwoods. Robert et al. (2021) proposed a model that further characterized *ca.* 720 Ma rifting of Laurentia–Amazonia and opening of the Puntoviscana Ocean, *ca.* 600 Ma rifting of Laurentia–Baltica and opening of eastern Iapetus, and *ca.* 550 Ma hyperextension and opening of western Iapetus without coeval seafloor spreading in the Humber Seaway inboard of Dashwoods. Although hypotheses for the timing and nature of lithospheric breakup vary, there has been some agreement that post-rift thermal subsidence along the eastern Laurentian passive margin was delayed 20–30 Myr because of the insulating effects of sedimentary cover, structural emplacement of hot mantle, thermal expansion of plate margin segments with thick crust, or other factors (Allen et al., 2010; Macdonald et al., 2023; van Staal et al., 2013). Seismic stratigraphic and field studies of modern passive margins have instead proposed that magma-poor rifts have discrete phases of crustal and mantle breakup and corresponding isostatic adjustment and deposition of breakup sequences prior to thermal subsidence (Alves & Cunha, 2018; Soares et al., 2012), but these stratigraphic concepts have not yet been universally applied to ancient passive margin systems (e.g., Beranek, 2017).

Ediacaran to lower Cambrian rocks assigned to the Labrador and Curling groups comprise the exposed base of the Humber margin in western Newfoundland, Canada, and are well suited to characterize the rift evolution of eastern Laurentia based on constraints from targeted sedimentological, biostratigraphic, and regional bedrock mapping studies (figs. 1B, 2, 3, e.g., Cawood et al., 2001; Cawood & van Gool, 1998; James & Debrenne, 1980; Knight & Boyce, 2014; Lavoie et al., 2003; van Staal & Barr, 2012; Williams & Hiscott, 1987). Published (Cawood & Nemchin, 2001) and unpublished (e.g., Allen, 2009 in White & Waldron, 2022) detrital zircon U-Pb studies have used SIMS (secondary ion mass spectrometry) and LA-ICP-MS (laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) techniques, respectively, to interpret the provenance of Labrador and Curling group strata, but these low-*n* datasets (<60 grains/sample) may not provide robust evaluations of maximum depositional age, regional correlations, or tectonic significance. For example, there remains disagreement about basal Labrador and Curling group strata that unconformably overlie crystalline basement rocks and rift-related lavas being the result of syn-rift tectonic subsidence (White & Waldron, 2022; Williams & Hiscott, 1987), deposition during the rift-drift transition (Cawood et al., 2001), or post-rift thermal subsidence (Lavoie et al., 2003; Macdonald et al., 2023). In this article, we report new detrital zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope results and detrital mineral percentages of Labrador and Curling group sandstones to investigate the establishment of the Humber passive margin. Our detrital zircon studies include high-*n* LA-ICP-MS

datasets that facilitate statistical assessments using MATLAB routines. The new data are integrated with published stratigraphic constraints for western Newfoundland to evaluate Humber margin evolution and provide testable models for the development of the Humber Seaway. We use evidence from modern magma-poor rift systems to propose a plate tectonic model which calls for the establishment of the Humber passive margin to include Ediacaran to Cambrian breakup sequences that record the transition from breakup tectonism to thermal subsidence. We summarize Ediacaran-Cambrian rift evolution in the southern Caledonian-northern Appalachian orogen and propose that the Scotland-Ireland and SE Canada-NE United States segments of eastern Laurentia also contain crustal and mantle breakup sequences.

2. EDIACARAN TO CAMBRIAN STRATIGRAPHY

Neoproterozoic to lower Paleozoic rocks of the Humber passive margin are integral parts of the northern Appalachian orogen in New England and Atlantic Canada (fig. 1A, e.g., James et al., 1989; Waldron & van Staal, 2001); the northern continuation of the Humber passive margin into the southern Caledonides is demonstrated by broadly equivalent rock units in Ireland and Scotland (e.g., upper Dalradian Supergroup: Prave et al., 2023; Strachan & Holdsworth, 2000). In western Newfoundland, *ca.* 950–1500 Ma crystalline rocks of the Grenville Province (Pinware terrane) represent the distal edge of the Laurentian craton and are the depositional substrates for Humber margin successions (Cawood & van Gool, 1998; Heaman et al., 2002; Hodgkin et al., 2021). Labrador and Curling group strata that are the focus of this study, as well as underlying Proterozoic crystalline basement rocks, were variably affected by Cambrian-Ordovician (Taconic), Silurian (Salinic), Devonian (Acadian), and later deformation events associated with the Appalachian orogenic system (e.g., Cawood, 1993; Cawood et al., 1994; White & Waldron, 2019). Labrador Group strata are exposed in the weakly deformed Laurentian autochthon, whereas coeval Laurentian rocks of the Curling Group are assigned to the Humber Arm allochthon and were transported westwards and emplaced onto platform successions as a result of convergent margin tectonism (e.g., Lavoie et al., 2003; Waldron et al., 1998; Waldron & Stockmal, 1991). Fleur de Lys Supergroup strata comprise polydeformed and metamorphosed rocks that are equivalents of Labrador and Curling group strata in west-central Newfoundland (fig. 2A, e.g., Cawood & Nemchin, 2001; Hibbard, 1983). The eastern edge of the Humber margin system is generally marked by the Baie Verte-Brompton line (figs. 1A, 2A), a long-lived fault zone in part defined by ophiolitic fragments that are juxtaposed against Fleur de Lys Supergroup strata (e.g., van Staal & Barr, 2012).

The Humber margin is interpreted to include promontories and embayments framed by transform faults that are orthogonal to rift zones (fig. 1B, e.g., Allen et al., 2009, 2010). The promontories generally have thin Paleozoic successions, narrow thrust belts, and complex deformation of




Figure 1. (A) Simplified map of the northern Appalachian orogen modified from Hibbard et al. (2006). Humber margin rocks include autochthonous and allochthonous continental margin units exposed in the westernmost Appalachians. CA - Canada; CBI - Cape Breton Island; PEI - Prince Edward Island; U.S.A. - United States of America. (B) Interpreted continental margin geometry of eastern Laurentia modified from Allen et al. (2010) that assumes formation by a simple-shear, low-angle detachment rift system. The ocean-continent transition is oversimplified and does not show zones of hyperextended crust and exhumed continental mantle in outboard regions. Selected igneous rock occurrences: BC - Birchy complex (556–564 Ma, van Staal et al., 2013); BR - Blair River dikes (576 Ma and 581 Ma: Miller & Barr, 2004); BM - Baie des Moutons complex (583 Ma: McCausland et al., 2011); DH - Disappointment Hill pluton (607 Ma: Hodgkin et al., 2021); LRD - Long Range dikes (615 Ma: Kamo et al., 1989, 1995); LM - Lac Matapédia volcanics (565 Ma: Hodych & Cox, 2007); LS - Lady Slipper pluton (555 Ma: Cawood et al., 2001); MR - Mont Rigaud syenite (533 Ma: McCausland et al., 2007); RP - Round Pond granite (602 Ma: Williams et al., 1985); SC - Skinner Cove Formation volcanics (Cawood et al., 2001); SI - Sept Îles complex (564 Ma, Higgins & van Breemen, 1998); SH - St. Honoré complex (571 Ma: McCausland et al., 2009); TH - Tibbit Hill Formation volcanics (554 Ma: Kumarapeli et al., 1989). Ar-Ar cooling ages of pseudotachylyte from O'Brien and van der Pluijm (2012). Zircon (U-Th)/He cooling ages from Powell et al. (2018).

basement massifs, whereas the embayments have thicker stratigraphic successions with wider thrust belts and fewer exposed basement massifs (Thomas, 1977, 1991). The Humber passive margin in Newfoundland is part of the St. Lawrence promontory and segmented by the Serpentine Lake, Bonne Bay, Canada Bay, and Belle Isle transform systems (figs. 1B, 2A, 2B, Allen et al., 2009, 2010; Cawood & Botsford, 1991; Williams, 1979). Mafic to felsic igneous rocks in the St. Lawrence promontory and Quebec embayment, including those along the Serpentine Lake, Bonne Bay, and Sept Îles transforms (fig. 1B), yield *ca.* 615–600 Ma and *ca.* 580–550 Ma crystallization ages that constrain the timing of rift-related extension which ultimately resulted in the birth of the Humber passive margin (fig. 1B, e.g., Macdonald et al., 2023). Other evidence for the timing of rift-related deformation in the northern Appalachians is derived from *ca.* 614–613 Ma pseudotachylytes from the Montmorency fault (St. Lawrence rift system) in southern Quebec that separate Proterozoic gneiss from lower Paleozoic strata (O'Brien & van der Pluijm, 2012) and 761–582

Ma zircon (U-Th)/He cooling ages for Proterozoic crystalline rocks on Anticosti Island (Powell et al., 2018) that were exhumed during regional extension.

2.1. Labrador Group

Labrador Group rocks are the stratigraphic archives of early Humber margin development in the Laurentian autochthon (e.g., James et al., 1989; Lavoie et al., 2003). The lowermost Labrador Group succession in southern Labrador and the Great Northern Peninsula and Belle Isle regions of NW Newfoundland consists of Bateau Formation shale, siltstone, cross-bedded sandstone, and conglomerate units up to 244 m-thick that unconformably overlie Proterozoic gneiss and are intruded by rift-related mafic rocks correlated with the *ca.* 615 Ma Long Range dike swarm (figs. 2A, 3, Bostock et al., 1983; Kamo et al., 1989; Williams & Hiscott, 1987; Williams & Stevens, 1969). Lighthouse Cove Formation basalt lavas fed by Long Range dike sources are up to 310 m-thick and overlie both Bateau Formation




Figure 2. Simplified bedrock geology of the Humber margin in (A) western Newfoundland and SE Labrador and (B) Port au Port Peninsula, Humber Arm, Bay of Islands, and Bonne Bay areas of western Newfoundland modified from Knight (2013). Detrital zircon sample locations are shown by white squares. Location information for quantitative mineral samples is provided in table S1 (online supplementary materials). Igneous rock abbreviations follow those in figure 1. BVBL – Baie Verte-Brompton line.

and Proterozoic gneiss units in the Belle Isle area (fig. 3, Williams & Hiscott, 1987; Williams & Stevens, 1969).

The lowermost Labrador Group succession in SW Newfoundland consists of massive to tabular to trough cross-bedded feldspathic sandstone and conglomerate units of the Bradore Formation that unconformably overlie Proterozoic crystalline rocks (fig. 3, e.g., Williams, 1985). Equivalent Bradore Formation strata in southern Labrador and the Belle Isle region unconformably overlie both Proterozoic gneiss and Lighthouse Cove Formation volcanic rocks (figs. 2A, 2B, e.g., Bostock et al., 1983; Cawood et al., 2001). Detailed sedimentological studies of the Bradore Formation have reported fluvial, tidal-influenced marine, and shallow-marine shelf deposits with northeast- to east-directed paleocurrent indicators (e.g., Hiscott et al., 1984; James et al., 1989; Long & Yip, 2009). Bostock et al. (1983) noted that the Bradore Formation in the Belle Isle area ranges from 10 to 175 m-thick and is locally exposed in the hanging-walls of basement-involved normal faults, implying that it was deposited during extensional deformation. The precise timing and tectonic significance of Bradore Formation deposition are uncertain; the basement-cover unconformity

at the base of the unit was proposed by Cawood et al. (2001) to reflect the rift-drift transition in the Laurentian autochthon, whereas Williams and Hiscott (1987) and Allen et al. (2010) concluded that there is an unmapped unconformity somewhere higher in the Bradore Formation that marks the onset of passive margin sedimentation. Landing and Bartowski (1996) and Landing (2012) correlated the Bradore Formation with Cambrian Series 2 strata of the U.S. Appalachians that overlie Proterozoic rocks and have lower *Olenellus* biozone faunas. The upper units of the Bradore Formation yield *Dolopichnus*, *Conichnus*, *Skolithos linearis*, *Lingulichnus verticalis*, and other trace fossils that are generally consistent with early Cambrian depositional ages (Hiscott et al., 1984; James et al., 1989; Long & Yip, 2009; Pemberton & Kobluk, 1978). Bradore Formation sandstone has yielded ca. 930–1223 Ma detrital zircon grains with a 1124 Ma age peak (1 sample, $n = 53$, Cawood & Nemchin, 2001), which supports sedimentological evidence for the unit to have provenance from underlying and adjacent Proterozoic crystalline rocks in western Newfoundland.

The Forteau Formation conformably overlies the Bradore Formation in southern Labrador and western Newfound-




Figure 3. Schematic Ediacaran to Ordovician stratigraphy and depositional relationships for rock units of the Humber margin in Newfoundland summarized from Williams (1985), Cawood and van Gool (1998), Palmer et al. (2001), Lavoie et al. (2003), Gillis and Burden (2006), and Knight (2013). Geological time scale after Cohen et al. (2013). See text for fossil and other depositional age constraints. BMDB - Blow Me Down Brook Formation, E. - Early, Gp. - Group, HL - Hughes Lake complex, LRD - Long Range dikes, LS - Lady Slipper pluton, M - Middle, MM - Mount Musgrave Group, PAP - Port au Port Peninsula, SE - southeast, WB - White Bay Group.

land (fig. 3, e.g., James et al., 1989; Knight et al., 2017). The type section in southern Labrador includes basal dolomite overlain by shale, fossiliferous sandy limestone, and calcareous siltstone and sandstone (Schuchert & Dunbar, 1934). The Forteau Formation varies in thickness by region; shelf successions of an inboard, western facies belt in Labrador are <120 m-thick, whereas deep-water successions of an outer, eastern facies belt in western Newfoundland are up to 700 m-thick (Riley, 1962) and represent a major depocenter that is not well understood in the lower Paleozoic platform-slope system (Knight, 2013). This west-to-east facies transition is generally supported by paleocurrent indicators observed in shelf strata (e.g., Knight et al., 2017). The lower Forteau Formation, in addition to the upper parts of the underlying Bradore Formation, are interpreted to comprise a transgressive systems tract during early thermal subsidence along the Humber passive margin (e.g., Lavoie et al., 2003). A maximum flooding surface is marked by a shale-dominated interval above the lower limestone unit, and upper shale, burrowed siltstone, and sandstone show the onset of a highstand systems tract that eventually gave way to a prograding carbonate shelf (Skovsted et al., 2017). Dated parts of the Forteau Formation are Cambrian Series 2 (Stages 3–4) based on *Bonnia-Olenellus* biozone fossils, Archaeocyathan fauna, and other assemblages (Boyce, 2021; James & Debrenne, 1980; Knight et al., 2017; Skovsted et al., 2017). Detrital zircon studies of the Forteau Formation have not been completed because of the abundance of carbonate and fine-grained siliciclastic

rocks in the unit and therefore the provenance of its strata with respect to the underlying Bradore Formation is uncertain.

The Hawke Bay Formation conformably overlies the Forteau Formation in southern Labrador and western Newfoundland (fig. 3, James et al., 1989; Schuchert & Dunbar, 1934). The Hawke Bay Formation mostly consists of massive to planar cross-stratified quartz arenite with minor glauconitic sandstone, shale, and bioturbated limestone units that are up to 250 m-thick. Hawke Bay Formation lithofacies, ichnofauna, and west-southwest and east-northeast-directed paleocurrent indicators support a high-energy wave and storm-dominated shoreface environment (e.g., Knight & Boyce, 2014). Representative fauna from the *Mesonacis bonnensis*, *Glossopleura*, *Polypleuraspis* and other biozones indicate that the Hawke Bay Formation is Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to early Miaolingian (Wuliuan) and deposited during a eustatic sea-level lowstand at the end of the Sauk I subsequence (Knight & Boyce, 2014; Lavoie et al., 2003; A. R. Palmer & James, 1980). Hawke Bay Formation sandstone has yielded *ca.* 955–2835 Ma detrital zircon grains with 1043, 1854, and 2780 Ma age peaks (1 sample, $n = 64$, Cawood & Nemchin, 2001), which indicate provenance from Archean cratons and flanking Proterozoic orogens of eastern Laurentia.

Upper Cambrian (*Ehmaniella cloudensis* biozone) to Lower Ordovician platformal carbonate successions (Port au Port and St. George groups) overlie the Labrador Group and are the youngest units of the Humber passive margin

(e.g., James et al., 1989). Middle Ordovician carbonate and shale units in western Newfoundland (Table Head Group) heralded faulting and erosion of the Laurentian platform and were succeeded by the west-directed passage of a fore-bulge and flysch deposition related to Taconic orogenesis (e.g., Knight et al., 1991; Waldron et al., 2003).

2.2. Curling Group

Curling Group rocks comprise Laurentian margin strata of the Humber Arm allochthon, which is one of the west-transported allochthons in western Newfoundland (figs. 2, 3, e.g., Williams & Cawood, 1989). The Blow Me Down Brook Formation occupies the highest thrust sheet of the Humber Arm allochthon in the Bay of Islands area (Woods Island succession of Waldron et al., 2003) and likely represents the most distal unit of the Curling Group. The Blow Me Down Brook Formation mostly consists of micaceous feldspathic to lithic arenite with minor quartz arenite, conglomerate, and shale that together are >370 m-thick. The lithostratigraphic features of the unit are consistent with deposition by sediment gravity flows (S. E. Palmer et al., 2001). Red mudstone of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation overlies and is interbedded with Ediacaran(?) pillowed to massive basalt and breccia in several localities (e.g., Gillis & Burden, 2006; Waldron et al., 2003; Williams & Cawood, 1989), but elsewhere, overlying units contain *Oldhamia* (Lindholm & Casey, 1990; see Herbolch & Verniers, 2011) and acritarch species (Burden et al., 2001, 2005; S. E. Palmer et al., 2001) that indicate late Terreneuvian to Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to Wuliuan age constraints for the unit. The composite Blow Me Down Brook Formation succession may therefore correlate with several Labrador Group units of the Laurentian autochthon, including Forteau and Hawke Bay formations of the early passive margin. Blow Me Down Brook Formation sandstone has yielded *ca.* 1019–3592 Ma detrital zircon grains with 1057, 1841, and 2784 Ma age peaks (1 sample, *n* = 55, Cawood & Nemchin, 2001) that indicate provenance ties with Proterozoic and Archean rocks of the eastern Laurentian hinterland.

The Summerside Formation occupies an intermediate thrust sheet of the Humber Arm allochthon in the Humber Arm area (Corner Brook succession of Waldron et al., 2003) and includes ~700 m of quartz to feldspathic arenite and shale units that comprise submarine fan deposits (fig. 3, e.g., S. E. Palmer et al., 2001). The base of Summerside Formation is not exposed, but it is interpreted to unconformably overlie Proterozoic crystalline basement (Cawood & van Gool, 1998; Waldron et al., 2003). Summerside Formation strata contain Ediacaran to early Cambrian spheromorph acritarchs and other palynomorph assemblages (S. E. Palmer et al., 2001) and may comprise a rift-related unit correlative with the lowermost Labrador Group and lower parts of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation (e.g., Lavoie et al., 2003). Summerside Formation sandstone has yielded *ca.* 580–1186 Ma detrital zircon grains with 1012 Ma and 1129 Ma age peaks (1 sample, *n* = 54, Cawood & Nemchin, 2001) that demonstrate provenance from rift-related, Neo-

proterozoic igneous rocks and underlying and adjacent Proterozoic crystalline basement units.

The Irishtown Formation (Brückner, 1966) conformably overlies the Summerside Formation and consists of shale, quartz arenite, and conglomerate units with a structural thickness >1100 m (fig. 3, S. E. Palmer et al., 2001). Irishtown Formation strata contain flute casts, load structures, and partial to complete Bouma sequences that indicate deposition by turbidity currents and debris flows (Cawood & van Gool, 1998; S. E. Palmer et al., 2001). Granite, sandstone, shale, and limestone clasts with early Cambrian fossils, especially those in conglomerate units deposited along the Bonne Bay transform, indicate derivation from Proterozoic crystalline basement and Labrador Group sources (e.g., Cawood & van Gool, 1998). The upper Irishtown Formation contains early Cambrian acritarchs (S. E. Palmer et al., 2001) that suggest correlation with the Forteau or Hawke Bay formations and upper parts of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation (e.g., Lavoie et al., 2003). However, detrital zircon studies of the Irishtown Formation have not been completed and these proposed stratigraphic correlations are untested. Mid- to upper Cambrian to Middle Ordovician deep-water carbonate rocks (Northern Head Group) unconformably overlie the Irishtown Formation and are probable time-equivalents to passive margin successions (Port au Port and St. George groups) of the Laurentian autochthon (fig. 3, e.g., Cawood & van Gool, 1998).

2.3. Fleur de Lys Supergroup

Fleur de Lys Supergroup metaclastic rocks exposed in the Corner Brook Lake area of west-central Newfoundland overlie Mesoproterozoic orthogneiss and rift-related Ediacaran intrusive rocks (Mount Musgrave Group – MM in fig. 3, e.g., Cawood & Nemchin, 2001; White & Waldron, 2022). Equivalent successions in the Baie Verte Peninsula comprise parts of a cover sequence on top of Mesoproterozoic gneiss (WB – White Bay Group in fig. 3, e.g., Hibbard, 1983, 1988; Hibbard et al., 1995) and an early Tonian volcanic-sedimentary succession (Strowbridge et al., 2022). Fleur de Lys Supergroup lithologies in the Baie Verte Peninsula region include metaconglomerate, marble breccia, and psammitic and pelitic schists that are interlayered with mafic metavolcanic rocks (e.g., Hibbard, 1988; Hibbard et al., 1995). Birchy complex units in the Coachman's Cove area of the Baie Verte Peninsula (fig. 2A) are part of an Ediacaran (*ca.* 564–556 Ma) rift succession and contain serpentized peridotite, gabbro, mafic schist, and metasedimentary rocks that locally contain detrital chromite from nearby ultramafic sources (van Staal et al., 2013). Flat Point Formation psammite, interpreted as cover to the Birchy complex (fig. 3), has yielded *ca.* 1026, 1075, 1305, 1480, and 1883 Ma age peaks (1 sample, *n* = 69, van Staal et al., 2013), and Fleur de Lys Supergroup mica schist elsewhere in the Baie Verte Peninsula has yielded *ca.* 1050, 1150, 1361, 1501, and 2478 Ma age peaks (1 sample, *n* = 78, Willner et al., 2014). These detrital zircon U-Pb results are interpreted to reflect provenance from Archean and Proterozoic rocks of eastern Laurentia (e.g., Willner et al., 2014).

3. METHODS AND MATERIALS

3.1. Sampling strategy

Sandstone samples of the Labrador and Curling groups were collected using bedrock geological maps and stratigraphic reports as guides (see location information in [fig. 2B](#) and tables [1](#), [S1](#), and [S2](#)). Well exposed stratigraphic sections with fossil constraints were targeted and measured by Jacob staff when possible (Soukup, 2022). Labrador Group samples comprise: (1) Bradore Formation sandstone units collected <10 m above the unconformity with Proterozoic crystalline rocks in the Bonne Bay and Indian Head Range areas (Williams, 1985; Williams & Cawood, 1989; Williams & Hiscott, 1987); and (2) Hawke Bay Formation sandstone units from Marches Point in the Port au Port Peninsula (Knight & Boyce, 2014). Curling Group samples comprise: (1) Blow Me Down Brook Formation sandstone units in the Bay of Islands (Candlelite Bay) and Bonne Bay (South Arm) areas (Burden et al., 2005; Lindholm & Casey, 1990; Williams & Cawood, 1989); (2) Summerside Formation sandstone units along Humber Arm (S. E. Palmer et al., 2001); and (3) Irishtown Formation sandstone collected along Humber Arm and in the Bonne Bay area (S. E. Palmer et al., 2001).

3.2. Scanning Electron Microscope – Mineral Liberation Analysis

Quantitative mineral studies of 38 thin sections (Bradore Formation: $n = 1$, Hawke Bay Formation: $n = 13$, Blow Me Down Brook Formation: $n = 20$, Summerside Formation: $n = 2$, Irishtown Formation: $n = 2$) were conducted at Memorial University of Newfoundland using a FEI Quanta field emission gun (FEG) 650 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with Mineral Liberation Analysis (MLA) software version 3.14 (Beranek et al., 2022; Grant et al., 2018; Sylvester, 2012). Area percentage values for each sample are provided in table [S1](#); the results reported in Section 4 highlight only the key mineral constituents in each sample. Instrument conditions included a high voltage of 25 kV, working distance of 13.5 mm, and beam current of 10 nA. SEM-MLA maps were created using GXMAP mode by acquiring Energy Dispersive X-Ray spectra in a grid every 10 pixels, with a spectral dwell time of 12 ms, and comparing these against a list of mineral reference spectra. The MLA frames were 1.5 mm by 1.5 mm with a resolution of 500 pixels x 500 pixels.

3.3. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry

Laser ablation U-Pb and Hf isotope studies of 11 sandstone samples (Bradore Formation: $n = 2$, Hawke Bay Formation: $n = 2$, Blow Me Down Brook Formation: $n = 3$, Summerside Formation: $n = 2$, Irishtown Formation: $n = 2$) were conducted at Memorial University of Newfoundland using a Thermo-Finnigan Element XR single-collector ICP-MS and Thermo-Finnigan Neptune multi-collector ICP-MS, respectively. Laser ablation methods, isotopic results, and refer-

ence material values are reported in table [S2](#). Photographs of field sample sites are provided in figure [S1](#). Time-integrated U-Pb and Hf analyte signals were analyzed offline using Iolite software (Paton et al., 2011). U-Pb ages were calculated using the VizualAge data reduction scheme (Petrus & Kamber, 2012). Concordance values were calculated as the ratio of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages and analyses with high error (>10% uncertainty) or excessive discordance (>10% discordant, >5% reverse discordant) were excluded from maximum depositional age estimates and provenance interpretations. The reported ages for grains younger and older than 1200 Ma are based on $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{236}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages, respectively.

U-Pb dates are reported at 2σ uncertainty and shown in probability density plots made with the AgeCalcML MATLAB program (Sundell et al., 2021). The modes for each sample are informally reported as probability age peaks. Initial $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ are reported as $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ and represent isotopic compositions at the time of crystallization relative to the chondritic uniform reservoir (CHUR). Initial epsilon Hf ($\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$) calculations used the decay constant of Söderlund et al. (2004) and CHUR values of Bouvier et al. (2008). Age-corrected epsilon Hf ($\text{Hf}_{(t)}$) vs. U-Pb age plots were made with the Hafnium Plotter MATLAB program of Sundell et al. (2019). Maximum depositional ages were estimated with the Maximum Likelihood Age algorithm of Vermeesch (2021). U-Pb and U-Pb-Hf statistical assessments reported in table [S3](#) were conducted with the DZstats (Saylor & Sundell, 2016) and DZstats2D (Sundell & Saylor, 2021) MATLAB programs, respectively. Multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) plots were made with the DZmids (Saylor et al., 2018) and DZstats2D (Sundell & Saylor, 2021) MATLAB programs.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Labrador Group

4.1.1. BRADORE FORMATION

Feldspathic sandstone (78% quartz, 13% potassium feldspar) that overlies Mesoproterozoic orthogneiss units in the Indian Head Range contains the highest ilmenite (0.54%), titanite (0.77%), and zircon (0.11%) values in the sample suite (sample MS2019-08 in table [S1](#)). This sample yields 281 detrital zircon grains (~99%) that range from 943 ± 13 Ma to 1247 ± 34 Ma and comprise a 1112 Ma age peak and four grains (~1%) from 1427 ± 47 Ma to 1469 ± 52 Ma (sample MS2019-08 in [fig. 4](#)). Quartz sandstone that overlies Mesoproterozoic granite in the Bonne Bay area yields 286 detrital zircon grains (~98%) that range from 953 ± 24 Ma to 1377 ± 44 Ma and comprise a 1033 Ma age peak, five grains (~2%) from 1427 ± 61 Ma to 1533 ± 36 Ma, and one (<1%) 1972 ± 35 Ma grain (sample MS2019-12 in [fig. 4](#)). Tonian to Stenian and Ectasian to Calymmian detrital zircon grains in the Bradore Formation have $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ values that range from -1.0 to +5.1 ($\bar{X} = +2.4$) and -0.4 to +7.5 ($\bar{X} = +4.6$), respectively ([fig. 4](#)).

Table 1. Location, lithological, and depositional age estimate information for Labrador and Curling group rock samples. See text for published fossil age information. Maximum depositional age (MDA) calculations were made with Maximum Likelihood Age algorithm of Vermeesch (2021). *n* = number of grains

Rock sample	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Location	Lithology	Fossil age constraints	MDA estimate	# of grains in youngest group
Labrador Group							
Hawke Bay Formation							
MS2019-10	48.4991	-59.1215	Marches Point	Glauconitic sandstone	Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to Miaolingian (Wuliuan)	567 ± 44 Ma	<i>n</i> = 2
31LB16	48.4968	-59.1265	Marches Point	Quartz sandstone	Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to Miaolingian (Wuliuan)	562 ± 9 Ma	<i>n</i> = 3
Bradore Formation							
MS2019-08	48.6031	-58.4272	Indian Head Range	Feldspathic sandstone	Cambrian Series 2 or older	970 ± 7 Ma	<i>n</i> = 12
MS2019-12	49.4636	-57.6563	Bonne Bay	Quartz sandstone	Cambrian Series 2 or older	971 ± 5 Ma	<i>n</i> = 21
Curling Group							
Irishtown Formation							
MS2019-14	49.4385	-57.8356	Bonne Bay	Quartz sandstone	Early Cambrian	574 ± 10 Ma	<i>n</i> = 3
MS2019-01	48.9662	-58.0236	Humber Arm	Quartz sandstone	Early Cambrian	556 ± 12 Ma	<i>n</i> = 1
Summerside Formation							
MS2019-06	48.9781	-57.9899	Humber Arm	Quartz sandstone	Ediacaran to early Cambrian	889 ± 19 Ma	<i>n</i> = 3
MS2019-05	48.9780	-57.9896	Humber Arm	Quartz sandstone	Ediacaran to early Cambrian	595 ± 10 Ma	<i>n</i> = 1
Blow Me Down Brook Formation							
30LB16	49.0705	-58.2840	Bay of Islands	Feldspathic sandstone	Terreneuvian to Miaolingian (Wuliuan)	586 ± 21 Ma	<i>n</i> = 1
MS2019-04	49.0706	-58.2861	Bay of Islands	Quartz sandstone	Terreneuvian to Miaolingian (Wuliuan)	1002 ± 15 Ma	<i>n</i> = 8
MS2019-15	49.4620	-57.9090	Bonne Bay	Feldspathic sandstone	Terreneuvian to Miaolingian (Wuliuan)	902 ± 11 Ma	<i>n</i> = 1




Figure 4. Detrital zircon results from Labrador Group rock units: basal Bradore Formation (MS2019-08) in the Indian Head Range, basal Bradore Formation (MS2019-12) in the Bonne Bay area, and Hawke Bay Formation (31LB16 & MS2019-10) at Marches Point, Port au Port Peninsula. CHUR - chondritic uniform reservoir. Crustal evolution trends show an average $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ value of 0.0115 and a range of $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ values of 0.0193 to 0.0036 (e.g., Vervoort et al., 1999). n = number of grains that passed discordance filter against total number of analyses.

4.1.2. HAWKE BAY FORMATION

Quartz arenite to subfeldspathic sandstone units (83–97% quartz, 3–10% potassium feldspar) from Marches Point have notable magnetite (<0.01–0.35%), rutile (0.01–0.04%), and tourmaline (<0.01–0.03%) contents (samples PAP16_HB-1, -2A, -3A, -4A, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9, -10, -16B in table S1); other rocks are glauconitic and limy sandstone units (samples PAP16_HB11, MS2019-10 in table S1). A lower quartz sandstone from Marches Point yields three grains (~1%) from 559 ± 7 Ma to 594 ± 10 Ma, 62 grains (~22%) that range from 989 ± 21 Ma to 1167 ± 23 Ma and comprise a 1047 Ma age peak, 129 grains (~46%) that range from 1247 ± 43 Ma to 1972 ± 50 Ma and include an 1844 Ma age peak, five grains (~2%) from 2052 ± 35 Ma to 2451 ± 57 Ma, 73 grains (~26%) that range from 2524 ± 42 Ma

to 2954 ± 31 Ma and comprise a 2715 Ma age peak, and five (~2%) grains from 3243 ± 33 Ma to 3737 ± 23 Ma (sample 31LB16 in fig. 4). An upper, glauconitic sandstone from Marches Point yields 566 ± 7 Ma and 583 ± 6 Ma detrital zircon grains, 12 grains (11%) that range from 926 ± 17 Ma to 1068 ± 20 Ma and comprise a 977 Ma age peak, 50 grains (~47%) that range from 1134 ± 12 Ma to 1975 ± 22 Ma and include a 1901 Ma age peak, four grains (~4%) from 2077 ± 40 Ma to 2366 ± 33 Ma, and 39 grains (~36%) that range from 2460 ± 26 Ma to 2872 ± 24 Ma and include a 2715 Ma age peak (sample MS2019-10 in fig. 4). Ediacaran detrital zircon grains in the Hawke Bay Formation have $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ values that range from +3.6 to +6.5 ($\bar{X} = +5.5$). Tonian to Stenian, Orosirian, and Neoproterozoic age peaks that comprise most of the Hawke Bay Formation samples have $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ values of -6.7 to +9.8 ($\bar{X} = +0.1$), -14.2 to +8.3 ($\bar{X} = -2.1$), and -20.0 to +4.7 Ma ($\bar{X} = -1.9$), respectively.

4.2. Curling Group

4.2.1. BLOW ME DOWN BROOK FORMATION

Blow Me Down Brook Formation sandstone units are micaceous and feldspathic (0.09–11.27% muscovite, 0.04–10.63% potassium feldspar) and have albite (9.22–23.63%) and chlorite (0.22–7.73%) alteration and trace garnet (0.01–0.16%) and ilmenite (<0.01–0.08%) contents (samples MS2019-04 and -15, 30LB16, BOI16_B2, -B3a, -B3b, -B4, -B5, -B6, -B7, -B8, -B8b, -B9, -B10, -B11, -B12, -B13, -B14, -B15, -B16 in table S1). Micaceous feldspathic sandstone along the South Arm of Bonne Bay yields 47 detrital zircon grains (~35%) that range from 902 ± 6 Ma to 1173 ± 39 Ma and include a 1030 Ma age peak, 61 grains (~46%) that range from 1201 ± 16 Ma to 2177 ± 87 Ma and include a 1394 Ma age peak, and 26 grains (~19%) that range from 2569 ± 61 Ma to 3016 ± 20 Ma and include a 2696 Ma age peak (sample MS2019-15 in fig. 5). Quartz sandstone from the Bay of Islands yields 17 detrital zircon grains (~44%) that range from 948 ± 58 Ma to 1147 ± 28 Ma and comprise a 1046 Ma age peak, 13 grains (~33%) from 1236 ± 41 Ma to 1866 ± 36 Ma, 2157 ± 25 Ma and 2271 ± 28 Ma single grains (~5%), and seven grains (~18%) from 2616 ± 44 Ma to 3006 ± 27 Ma (sample MS2019-04 in fig. 5). Feldspathic sandstone interbedded with MS2019-04 quartz sandstone yields a 579 ± 16 Ma detrital zircon grain (<1%), 76 grains (~70%) that range from 947 ± 14 Ma to 1931 ± 79 Ma and include a 1071 Ma age peak, 2300 ± 33 Ma and 2326 ± 18 Ma grains (~2%), and 30 grains (~28%) that range from 2493 ± 58 Ma to 2914 ± 21 Ma and include a 2725 Ma age peak (sample 30LB16 in fig. 5). The single Ediacaran grain sample 30LB16 has an $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ value of +4.0. Tonian to Stenian, Ectasian to Calymmian, and Neoproterozoic ages that make up most Blow Me Down Brook Formation samples yield $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ values of -7.8 to +9.0 ($\bar{X} = +2.2$), -15.1 to +8.5 ($\bar{X} = +1.2$), and -4.9 to +3.9 ($\bar{X} = +0.5$), respectively.

4.2.2. SUMMERSIDE FORMATION

Summerside Formation quartz sandstone units have notable albite (21.91% 22.78%), garnet (0.02%, 0.03%), mus-




Figure 5. Detrital zircon results from Curling Group rock units: Blow Me Down Brook Formation (MS2019-15) in Bonne Bay area, Blow Me Down Brook Formation strata (MS2019-04 & 30LB16) in the Bay of Islands, Summerside Formation strata (MS2019-05 & MS2019-06) in Humber Arm area, and Irishtown Formation strata (MS2019-01 & MS2019-14) in Humber Arm area. CHUR - chondritic uniform reservoir. Crustal evolution trends show an average $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ value of 0.0115 and a range of $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ values of 0.0193 to 0.0036 (e.g., Vervoort et al., 1999). n = number of grains that passed discordance filter against total number of analyses.

covite (0.05%, 3.42%), rutile (0.19%, 0.32%), and zircon (0.03%, 0.05%) contents (samples MS2019-05, -06 in table S1). A lower quartz sandstone unit from Pettipas Point along Humber Arm yields a 586 ± 9 Ma detrital zircon grain (<1%), 144 grains (50%) that mostly range from 920 ± 20 Ma to 1190 ± 22 Ma and comprise a 1038 Ma age peak, 107 grains (~37%) from 1216 ± 27 Ma to 1904 ± 42 Ma, 2208 ± 40 Ma to 2239 ± 61 Ma grains (<1%), and 35 grains (~12%) from 2497 ± 60 Ma to 2952 ± 34 Ma (sample MS2019-05 in fig. 5). An upper quartz sandstone from Pettipas Point yields 104 grains (~43%) that mostly range from 917 ± 11 Ma to 1197

± 9 Ma and comprise a 1012 Ma age peak, 102 grains (~42%) from 1202 ± 26 Ma to 2090 ± 81 Ma, and 37 grains (~15%) that mostly range from 2495 ± 38 Ma to 2935 ± 20 Ma. (MS2019-06 in fig. 5). The single Ediacaran grain in sample MS2019-05 has an $\epsilon\text{Hf}(t)$ value of +2.9. Tonian to Stenian age peaks that make up most of the Summerside Formation samples yield $\epsilon\text{Hf}(t)$ values of -12.1 to +6.0 ($\bar{X} = -0.4$), whereas subsidiary Ectasian to Orosirian and Neoproterozoic age groups have $\epsilon\text{Hf}(t)$ values of -16.2 to +8.0 ($\bar{X} = 0.0$) and -13.0 to +5.4 ($\bar{X} = +0.6$), respectively.

4.2.3. IRISHTOWN FORMATION

Irishtown Formation sandstones are quartz-rich (88.44%, 93.33%) and include minor albite, ankerite, chlorite, and muscovite (samples MS2019-01, -14 in table S1). Quartz sandstone from a unit with pebble to cobble clasts of granite, sandstone, and fossiliferous limestone in the Bonne Bay area yields 569 ± 8 Ma, 583 ± 6 Ma, 599 ± 7 Ma, and 620 ± 11 Ma detrital zircon grains (~2%), 77 grains (~29%) that range from 947 ± 12 Ma to 1192 ± 18 Ma and comprise a 1056 Ma age peak, 117 grains (~44%) that range from 1199 ± 38 Ma to 1999 ± 25 Ma and include a 1888 age peak, three grains (~1%) from 2145 ± 26 Ma to 2391 ± 25 Ma, 60 grains (~23%) from 2474 ± 78 Ma to 3194 ± 24 Ma, and two (<1%) 3310 ± 23 Ma to 3675 ± 18 Ma grains (MS2019-14 in fig. 5). Quartz sandstone along Humber Arm yields a 552 ± 8 Ma grain (<1%), 45 grains (~23%) from 970 ± 10 Ma to 1195 ± 35 Ma and comprise a 1045 Ma age peak, 79 grains (~40%) that range from 1249 ± 20 Ma to 2021 ± 61 Ma and include a 1884 age peak, six grains (~3%) from 2145 ± 35 Ma to 2361 ± 38 Ma, 64 grains (~33%) that range from 2526 ± 28 Ma to 3079 ± 68 Ma and include a 2723 Ma age peak, and one (<1%) 3816 ± 34 Ma grain (MS2019-01 in fig. 5). Four Ediacaran detrital zircon grains have $\epsilon\text{Hf}(t)$ values of -0.6 to +4.2 ($\bar{X} = +1.2$). Tonian to Stenian, Orosirian, and Neoproterozoic age peaks that make up most Irishtown Formation samples have $\epsilon\text{Hf}(t)$ values of -4.5 to +9.6 ($\bar{X} = +1.3$), -24.6 to +9.6 ($\bar{X} = -6.2$), and -10.7 to +3.4 ($\bar{X} = -1.2$), respectively.

5. INTERPRETATION

5.1. MDA estimates and timing of Ediacaran-Cambrian deposition along the Humber margin

5.1.1. LABRADOR GROUP

Bradore Formation sandstones above the basement-cover unconformity in western Newfoundland yield Tonian (970 ± 7 Ma, 971 ± 5 Ma) MDA estimates that are ca. 450 Myr older than the depositional ages proposed for the unit based on marine ichnofacies (Hiscott et al., 1984; Long & Yip, 2009; Pemberton & Kobluk, 1978) and correlations with lower *Olenellus* biozone units in the U.S. Appalachians (Landing, 2012; Landing & Bartowski, 1996), respectively (table 1). We therefore interpret that our Bradore Formation samples were deposited between 970 Ma and 509 Ma based on the MDA calculations and Cambrian Series 2 fossils in overlying strata of the Forteau Formation (e.g., Skovsted et al., 2017).

In this interpretation, the MDA estimates are much older than the true depositional age and indicate Ediacaran to early Cambrian erosion of underlying or adjacent crystalline rocks during extensional deformation and filling of local structural basins (e.g., Bostock et al., 1983). The age range of the Bradore Formation is relevant because some plate tectonic models have proposed that the switch from syn-rift to passive margin deposition is recorded by the unconformity at the base of the Bradore Formation (Cawood et al., 2001) or a speculative unconformity somewhere within the Bradore Formation (Allen et al., 2010; Williams & Hiscott, 1987) that would potentially divide the unit into lower and upper successions of different ages. If the latter model is correct, one solution is that a lower, unfossiliferous succession sampled herein comprises an unconformity-bounded unit of pre-Cambrian Series 2 strata, whereas an upper succession consists of Cambrian Series 2 (~519–509 Ma) strata with marine ichnofauna and ties to *Olenellus* biozone units elsewhere in the Appalachians. The current outcrop exposure of the potential lower succession in western Newfoundland is uncertain, but we predict it is of mappable extent and at least several tens of meters thick. We propose that targeted field and sediment provenance studies are necessary to fully examine these relationships and interpret the physical stratigraphy and tectonic significance of lower Bradore Formation rocks.

Carbonate and siliciclastic rocks of Forteau Formation are entirely within the *Bonnia-Olenellus* biozone and argue for the transition to passive margin deposition by the late early Cambrian (James et al., 1989; Lavoie et al., 2003) or Stage 4 of Cambrian Series 2 (~514 Ma, Knight et al., 2017). In combination with the storm-dominated shoreline deposits of the upper Bradore Formation, the lower and middle units of the Forteau Formation were deposited as parts of eastward-deepening, shelf-to-basin succession during eustatic sea-level rise and development of a transgressive systems tract that resulted in a maximum flooding surface and highstand system tract (Sauk I subsequence, Knight et al., 2017). Hawke Bay Formation shoreface to shelf deposits that conformably overlie the Forteau Formation yield Ediacaran (562 ± 9 Ma, 567 ± 44 Ma) MDA estimates and are ca. 50 Myr older than the Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to early Miaolingian (Wuliuan) fossil ages proposed for the unit (table 1). Hawke Bay Formation strata are generally linked to regression and a sea-level lowstand near the boundaries of the Sauk I and Sauk II subsequences (e.g., A. R. Palmer & James, 1980), however, Landing et al. (2024) proposed that these rocks may comprise siliciclastic bypass shelf or highstand system tract deposits. Regardless, our results indicate that quartz-rich strata of the Hawke Bay Formation yield Ediacaran to Archean detrital zircon grains that are older than the time of sediment accumulation, which is consistent with continent-scale drainage and sediment recycling along the Humber margin by 514–505 Ma (cf., Cawood et al., 2012). Hawke Bay Formation strata are overlain by upper Cambrian carbonate shoals and tidal flat strata that formed northeast-trending facies belts and deepened towards the southeast (James et al., 1989).

5.1.2. CURLING GROUP

Feldspathic and quartz sandstone from *Oldhamia*- and acritarch-bearing successions of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation that overlie mafic volcanic rocks in the Bay of Islands (Burden et al., 2005; Gillis & Burden, 2006; Lindholm & Casey, 1990; S. E. Palmer et al., 2001) yield Ediacaran (586 ± 21 Ma) and Stenian (1002 ± 15 Ma) MDA estimates, respectively (table 1). Micaceous feldspathic sandstone from an *Oldhamia*-bearing succession in the Bonne Bay area (Lindholm & Casey, 1990) yields a Tonian (902 ± 11 Ma) MDA estimate (table 1). Herbosch and Verniers (2011) conducted a global review of *Oldhamia* occurrences. They hypothesized that Blow Me Down Brook Formation ichnofauna are consistent with Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) to early Miaolingian depositional ages but acknowledged that these age assignments were influenced by proposed correlations with the Forteau and Hawke Bay formations of the Labrador Group. Acritarchs in the Blow Me Down Brook Formation (*Skiagia*, *Comosphaeridium*, *Annulum squamaceum*, *Fimbriaglomerella membrancea*, and others; Burden et al., 2001; S. E. Palmer et al., 2001) instead suggest late Terreneuvian to Cambrian Series 2 (Stage 4) depositional ages for the unit using the reference frames of Moczyłowska (1991) and Moczyłowska and Zang (2006), but these microfossils could be recycled and overestimate the true depositional age. Our Blow Me Down Brook Formation samples, likely collected from the upper parts of the unit, were therefore deposited between ca. 529 Ma and 504 Ma based on the available late Terreneuvian to early Miaolingian fossil constraints. In this interpretation, the MDA estimates are much older than the time of sediment accumulation and indicate early Cambrian erosion of Ediacaran and older igneous rocks or their supracrustal derivatives (cf., Cawood et al., 2012). However, basal turbidite units of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation are interbedded with mafic lavas correlative with ca. 551 Ma Skinner Cove Formation volcanic rocks (see Cawood et al., 2001). The Blow Me Down Brook Formation may therefore contain an unrecognized unconformity that separates a lower succession with ca. 551 Ma mafic volcanic and siliciclastic rocks from an upper succession of lower Cambrian siliciclastic rocks. Palmer et al. (2001) recognized such a potential lower succession in the Bay of Islands region that is of mappable extent and at least several tens of meters thick.

Quartz sandstone units of the Summerside Formation yield Ediacaran (595 ± 10 Ma) and Tonian (889 ± 19 Ma) MDA estimates (table 1). Palmer et al. (2001) reported that large, granular sphaeromorph acritarchs in these successions are consistent with late Precambrian depositional ages, but also noted that the microfossils may have been recycled into Curling Group strata during the early Cambrian. For example, overlying Irishtown Formation quartz sandstone units with Ediacaran (556 ± 12 Ma, 574 ± 10 Ma) MDA estimates (table 1) also contain these large sphaeromorph acritarchs and yield the late Terreneuvian to Cambrian Series 2 (pre-*Bonnia-Olenellus* biozone; Moczyłowska & Zang, 2006) microfossils *Annulum squamaceum* and *Fimbriaglomerella membrancea*(?) that are recognized in the

Blow Me Down Brook Formation. We interpret that the Ediacaran and Tonian MDA estimates for the Summerside and Irishtown Formations are older than the true depositional ages of the rock units, and based on published lithostratigraphic correlations with the upper Labrador Group (e.g., Lavoie et al., 2003), are Cambrian Series 2 to early Miaolingian in age.

5.2. Sediment provenance

Ediacaran detrital zircon grains comprise 0–2% of our samples (<1% of dataset) and are sourced from rift-related igneous rocks in the St. Lawrence promontory (fig. 1B; see compilation by Macdonald et al., 2023). For example, 566 Ma and 586–579 Ma age groups in the Blow Me Down Brook, Summerside, Irishtown, and Hawke Bay formations are similar in age to the 564 Ma Birchy complex schist in the Baie Verte Peninsula (van Staal et al., 2013) and 565 Ma Sept Îles intrusion (Higgins & van Breemen, 1998) and 583 Ma Baie des Moutons complex (McCausland et al., 2011) in the Quebec Appalachians. Other Ediacaran age fractions in our samples overlap in uncertainty with 551 Ma Skinner Cove Formation volcanic rocks (Cawood et al., 2001), 555 Ma Lady Slipper tonalite (Cawood et al., 1996), and ca. 607 Ma Hare Hill granite and Disappointment Hill tonalite (Hodgin et al., 2021) in SW Newfoundland, 581–576 Ma Blair River dikes in Cape Breton Island (Miller & Barr, 2004), and 615 Ma Long Range dikes in NW Newfoundland and Labrador (Kamo et al., 1989). Ediacaran detrital zircon grains accordingly have chondritic to superchondritic Hf isotope compositions that are consistent with igneous rocks sourced from the partial melting of metasomatized lithospheric mantle during extension or contamination of asthenospheric mantle-derived magmas with Proterozoic crust (e.g., Miller & Barr, 2004; Volkert et al., 2015). With the exception of the Bradore Formation samples underlain by crystalline basement, each of the units reported herein show Ediacaran contributions and six of the nine samples yield 595–556 Ma MDA estimates, which together demonstrates contributions from rift-related igneous rocks or their recycled derivatives. However, the low magnitude of Ediacaran grains in individual samples is probably the result of low zircon yield from mafic source rocks or much higher fertility of polycyclic, Tonian and older detrital zircon grains in the sedimentary system (cf., Cawood et al., 2012). Quartz-rich deposits of the Hawke Bay and Irishtown formations have the highest percentages of Ediacaran grains in the sample suite and indicate that Cambrian Series 2 to early Miaolingian sea level changes, recycling processes, and sediment transport delivered well-sorted sediment to both shoreface and submarine fan environments.

Early Neoproterozoic and Mesoproterozoic detrital zircon grains represent 1–12% (6% of dataset) and 29–91% of our samples (59% of dataset), respectively, and mostly indicate provenance from the eastern Grenville orogen (fig. 6; cf., Cawood & Nemchin, 2001). Our samples are characterized by 1110–970 Ma age peaks and ca. 1600–920 Ma age fractions that correspond with arc magmatism and accretionary events of the Labradorian (1680–1600 Ma), Pin-

warian (1500–1450 Ma), Elzevirian (1250–1190 Ma), and Grenvillian (1190–980 Ma) orogenies and post-Grenvillian extension in western Newfoundland, southern Labrador, and Quebec (see Rivers, 1997; Whitmeyer & Karlstrom, 2007). For example, Bradore Formation sandstone above the basement unconformity in the Bonne Bay area has a 1033 Ma age peak (sample 2019-12, fig. 4) that reflects derivation from the 1032 Ma Lomond River granite at the southern end of the Long Range Inlier (fig. 2), which elsewhere in the Great Northern Peninsula comprises ca. 1630–980 Ma igneous and metaigneous rocks (Heaman et al., 2002). Indian Head Range granitoids that similarly underlie Bradore Formation strata in SW Newfoundland yield zircon U-Pb ages of 1140–1135 Ma and have 1540–1240 Ma inheritance (Hodgin et al., 2021). Blow Me Down Brook and Summerside Formation rocks locally contain potassium feldspar, muscovite, and garnet grains along with 1030–1012 Ma detrital zircon age peaks and 1650–970 Ma age fractions and therefore also demonstrate that Humber Arm allochthon strata had local sources from the eastern Grenville Province. Early Tonian (ca. 950 Ma) age fractions may indicate volcanic rock sources in the Baie Verte Peninsula (Strowbridge et al., 2022), but otherwise correspond with post-Grenvillian magmatism in southern Labrador (ca. 955 Ma: Heaman et al., 2002). Mid-Tonian (ca. 920–830 Ma) detrital zircon grains are minor constituents in our samples and may be ultimately sourced from ca. 920–840 Ma mafic to felsic intrusive rocks in Scotland and Ireland or recycled through upper Neoproterozoic strata in NE Laurentia (e.g., Cawood, Nemchin, Strachan, et al., 2007; Olierook et al., 2020). These ca. 920–840 Ma igneous rocks were located near SE Greenland prior to the opening of the North Atlantic Ocean and generally indicate south to southwest-directed transport of Tonian detrital zircon grains during Neoproterozoic to early Cambrian time.

Paleoproterozoic detrital zircon ages comprise 0–33% of our samples (17% of dataset) and are interpreted to have provenance from igneous rocks associated with the Trans-Hudson, New Quebec, Torngat, and other orogens that record the ca. 2000–1800 Ma collision of Archean cratons (fig. 6, e.g., Hoffman, 1988; Whitmeyer & Karlstrom, 2007). For example, several of our samples yield ca. 1900–1800 Ma age peaks that correspond to Aillik Group felsic volcanic rocks and Island Harbour Bay granitoids of the Makkovik Province in SE Labrador (e.g., LaFlamme et al., 2013). The subchondritic to superchondritic Hf isotope compositions of Stenian to Calymmian detrital zircon grains indicate contributions from depleted mantle and reworked Paleoproterozoic crust (e.g., Olierook et al., 2020), whereas Statherian to Orosirian detrital zircon grains have more negative $\epsilon\text{Hf}_{(t)}$ excursions and indicate reworking of Archean crust (e.g., LaFlamme et al., 2013). Paleoproterozoic detrital zircon grains are likely polycyclic and recycled through post-Grenville strata during the multi-stage breakup of Rodinia (e.g., Cawood, Nemchin, Strachan, et al., 2007).

Archean detrital zircon ages make up 0–30% of our samples (17% of dataset) and demonstrate provenance from the Superior and North Atlantic cratons in eastern Canada




Figure 6. Precambrian basement domains of the Laurentian craton in North America and Irish and British Isles modified from Ross and Villeneuve (2003), Cawood, Nemchin, Strachan, Prave and Krabbendam (2007), Piercey and Colpron (2009), and White and Waldron (2022). UK – United Kingdom.

and southern Greenland, respectively (fig. 6, e.g., Hoffman, 1988). The most proximal sources of Neoproterozoic to Mesoproterozoic detrital zircon age fractions in our samples, which result in *ca.* 2800–2700 Ma age peaks, may be derived from metaigneous rocks in the Nain Province or North Atlantic craton of Labrador (e.g., Dunkley et al., 2020) that yield subchondritic to superchondritic Hf isotope compositions (e.g., Wasilewski et al., 2021) like those documented in Labrador and Curling group strata. The U-Pb ages and subchondritic to chondritic Hf isotope compositions of Paleo- to Eoarchean (*ca.* 3300–3800 Ma) detrital zircon grains in the Hawke Bay and Irishtown formations overlap with those from North Atlantic craton gneiss units in Labrador (Wasilewski et al., 2021) and SW Greenland (Kemp et al., 2019). Archean detrital zircon grains in our samples were likely recycled through Proterozoic strata that have provenance from underlying crystalline basement or sedimentary sequences in the Grenville foreland (e.g., Cawood, Nemchin, Strachan, et al., 2007).

5.3. Testing stratigraphic correlations with detrital zircon statistical assessments

Fossil and other geological constraints have been used to argue stratigraphic continuity between Labrador Group, Curling Group, and Fleur de Lys Supergroup rocks in western Newfoundland, and, more broadly, connections with the Neoproterozoic to lower Paleozoic platform-slope system of eastern Laurentia (fig. 3, e.g., James et al., 1989; Lavoie et al., 2003). Taconic and younger deformation

events have disrupted original depositional relationships and therefore our new detrital zircon results provide an opportunity to examine proposed Ediacaran to Cambrian stratigraphic correlations with statistical assessments (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Kuiper, Cross-correlation, Similarity, and Likeness test results in table S3). Herein we use the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) D statistic and multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) to interpret stratigraphic connections. Although K-S dissimilarities are sensitive to sample size, corresponding MDS plots provide sensible configurations because most detrital studies rely on the relative differences between age distributions (Vermeesch, 2018). Other detrital zircon studies have effectively used the K-S test D statistic to resolve provenance connections for datasets with sample size differences (Beranek et al., 2023; McClelland et al., 2021, p. 2023). The K-S test D statistic is most sensitive about the median of the age distribution, which is preferred here so that small populations do not significantly influence pairwise comparisons (Sundell & Saylor, 2017; Wissink et al., 2018).

5.3.1. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN LOWER LABRADOR AND LOWER CURLING GROUP STRATA

Pairwise comparisons of two Bradore Formation samples (MS2019-08, MS2019-12) yield U-Pb and U-Pb-Hf isotope K-S test D statistic values of 0.44 and 0.19, respectively (perfect overlap between two cumulative distribution functions would return D values = 0, no overlap would return D values = 1; Vermeesch, 2018). The U-Pb D statistic value of 0.44 results from our samples having distinct unimodal Mesoproterozoic age peaks that reflect differences in the crystallization ages of underlying basement rocks, whereas the U-Pb-Hf isotope D statistic value of 0.19 indicates less dissimilarity because both sandstone units were mostly derived from similar Neoproterozoic to Mesoproterozoic crustal units of the eastern Grenville orogen. The Bradore Formation samples are correspondingly far apart in the U-Pb MDS plot (fig. 7A), but close neighbors in the U-Pb-Hf isotope MDS plot (fig. 7B). Bradore Formation strata filled local basins (Bostock et al., 1983), and therefore the two samples could be time-equivalent, but not have stratigraphic continuity.

Pairwise comparisons of two Summerside Formation turbiditic sandstones (MS2019-05, MS2019-06) yield U-Pb and U-Pb-Hf isotope K-S test D statistic values of 0.10 and 0.13, respectively. These results support the hypothesis that turbidity current processes result in well-mixed deposits (DeGraaff-Surplus et al., 2003) which are not statistically different in detrital zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope space (figs. 7A, 7B). Pairwise comparisons of three Blow Me Down Brook Formation debris flow sandstones (MS2019-04, MS2019-15, 30LB16) yield slightly greater U-Pb and U-Pb-Hf isotope K-S test D statistic values of 0.12 to 0.23 and 0.11 to 0.33, respectively (figs. 7A, 7B). Stratigraphic correlations proposed for the Summerside and Blow Me Down Brook formations (fig. 3, Lavoie et al., 2003 and references therein) are supported by the low (0.10 to 0.20) U-Pb K-S test D statistic values and grouping of the five samples in figure 7A. We interpret that the greater U-Pb-Hf isotope K-

0.20 when compared to MS2019-05 and MS2019-06 (fig. 7A). However, this sandstone boulder sample plots in U-Pb-Hf isotope space near MS2019-04 of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation (D statistic value of 0.24) and is more distanced from our samples of the Summerside and Bradore formations in figure 7B. Our working hypothesis is that these Fleur de Lys Supergroup rocks were correlative with parts of the lower Curling Group, including Summerside and Blow Me Down Brook strata known or inferred to overlie rift-related volcanic rocks and Grenville Province crust (e.g., Cawood & van Gool, 1998; Williams & Cawood, 1989). For example, Blow Me Down Brook Formation strata that overlie pillowed and massive lavas in the Bay of Islands may be correlative with Flat Point Formation units that cover the Birchy complex in the Baie Verte Peninsula.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Late Ediacaran to early Cambrian establishment of the eastern Laurentian margin

The tectonic setting and paleogeographic significance of Humber margin strata have long been debated in the literature, which has fueled controversies for the Ediacaran to Cambrian evolution of eastern Laurentia. Most studies interpret the Humber margin in Newfoundland to have faced the Humber Seaway and outboard Dashwoods microcontinent, and not the Iapetus Ocean, but the details of these published scenarios vary (e.g., Cawood et al., 2001; Hodgkin et al., 2022; Macdonald et al., 2014; Robert et al., 2021; Waldron & van Staal, 2001). For example, the two-phase rift model of Cawood et al. (2001) called for coeval, early Cambrian seafloor spreading in both the Humber Seaway and Iapetus Ocean, whereas Robert et al. (2021) favoured a single mid-ocean ridge system in west Iapetus between Dashwoods and outboard Western Sierras Pampeanas and/or Arequipa-Pampia-Antofalla terranes. van Staal et al. (2013), Chew and van Staal (2014), and van Staal and Dewey (2023) used the available data to propose a magma-poor rift setting for the eastern Laurentian margin system in Scotland, Ireland, Atlantic Canada, and eastern United States, and specifically predicted that Birchy complex rocks in western Newfoundland comprise parts of an ancient ocean-continent transition zone akin to those documented along the west Iberian margin and in the Alps. The Humber margin in this magma-poor rift scenario was established after hyperextension along the west side of the Dashwoods microcontinent, which was interpreted by van Staal et al. (2013) to have initiated as a hanging-wall block (or H-block, see Péron-Pinvidic & Manatschal, 2010), and bounded by detachment faults which exhumed continental mantle to the surface. Humber margin strata in the model of van Staal et al. (2013) were deposited following the Cambrian Series 2 onset of thermal subsidence, ~20–30 Myr after hyperextension and the late Ediacaran rift-related magmatism in the Canadian Appalachians. An unexplored element of van Staal et al. (2013)'s hypothesis is that some magma-poor margins are established by a two-step process with crustal breakup before mantle breakup (e.g., Huismans &

Beaumont, 2011, 2014) and stratigraphic studies have accordingly recognized crustal and mantle breakup sequences in basins that record the transition between the timing of rupture and onset of thermal subsidence (Alves & Cunha, 2018; Chao et al., 2023; Soares et al., 2012, 2014). In combination with the new depositional age, sediment provenance, and stratigraphic interpretations reported herein, we use modern magma-poor rift analogues to propose that the Labrador and Curling groups comprise parts of crustal and mantle breakup sequences deposited along eastern Laurentia.

6.1.1. LATE EDIACARAN CRUSTAL BREAKUP IN WESTERN NEWFOUNDLAND

The crustal breakup phase in the Newfoundland (SE Grand Banks)-west Iberia magma-poor rift system, which we use as a modern analogue for late Ediacaran to early Cambrian evolution of the Humber margin (fig. 8A), was characterized by hyperextension or extreme thinning of the crust to <10 km (e.g., thinning and exhumation phases of Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Péron-Pinvidic & Manatschal, 2010) and bimodal magmatism along inherited, basement-involved faults in onshore (Peace et al., 2024) and offshore Newfoundland (Beranek et al., 2022; Hutter & Beranek, 2020; Johns-Buss et al., 2023) and onshore (Mata et al., 2015) and offshore Portugal (Pereira et al., 2017). Major faults at this stage of rift evolution were localized along the edges of H-blocks and penetrated the mantle lithosphere (e.g., decoupled deformation of Sutra et al., 2013), eventually resulting in the exhumation of lower crust and mantle rocks. The tectonic erosion of H-blocks during the exhumation phase locally generated extensional allochthons, which are unrooted, thin (<5 km-thick) crustal slices underlain by major detachment systems in outboard areas floored by exhumed mantle (Péron-Pinvidic & Manatschal, 2010). Crustal breakup sequences span ~20 Myr in the Newfoundland-west Iberia rift system and are mostly recognized by fluvial-deltaic strata that were deposited during a forced regression in terrestrial and shallow-marine settings (fig. 8B), whereas correlative deep-water breakup sequences contain debris flow and turbiditic strata delivered to continental slope environments by sediment bypass processes (Alves & Cunha, 2018).

We propose that late rift and crustal breakup processes in the Newfoundland sector of the eastern Laurentian rift system occurred between 570–550 Ma and resulted from hyperextension and crustal necking processes (fig. 8A, cf., Chew & van Staal, 2014; van Staal et al., 2013) best documented by Birchy complex and related ocean-continent transition zone rock units in the Baie Verte Peninsula. The tectonic erosion of Laurentian crust and development of an extensional allochthon in the Baie Verte area (Rattling Brook block of van Staal et al., 2013), which is basement to some Fleur de Lys Supergroup strata, is also consistent with late Ediacaran thinning and exhumation during crustal breakup. Bimodal magmatism coincident with late Ediacaran crustal breakup processes, like that observed in the modern Newfoundland-west Iberia system, was focused near basement-involved transfer or transform faults. Iso-




Figure 8. Schematic tectonic and stratigraphic development of the Humber margin in western Newfoundland. (A) Late Ediacaran to early Cambrian rift to crustal breakup phase in eastern Laurentia that featured stretching (blue faults), necking and hyperextension (red faults), and exhumation of lower crust and continental mantle (green faults). This model follows the tectonic evolution of the Newfoundland (SE Grand Banks)-west Iberia conjugate margin system proposed by Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal (2010), Peron-Pinvidic et al. (2013), and Sutra et al. (2013). **(B)** Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous crustal breakup sequence in the Lusitanian basin, western Portugal, proposed by Alves and Cunha (2018). **(C and D)** Proposed late Ediacaran to early Cambrian crustal breakup sequences in autochthonous (lowermost Bradore Formation) and allochthonous (lowermost Summerside and Blow Me Down Brook formations) parts of the Humber margin in western Newfoundland. **(E)** Late Ediacaran to early Cambrian mantle breakup to passive margin phase that featured the separation of the Dashwoods microcontinent from eastern Laurentia and opening of the Humber Seaway and west Iapetus Ocean. This model generally follows the isolation of the Dashwoods microcontinent and exhumation of Birchy complex rock units proposed by van Staal et al. (2013). **(F)** Mid-Cretaceous mantle breakup sequence in the inner proximal Porto basin (west Iberia margin) proposed by Soares et al. (2012). **(G)** Mid-Cretaceous mantle breakup sequence in the distal Newfoundland basin as proposed by Soares et al. (2012) using stratigraphic nomenclature from ODP Leg 210, site 1276 (Tucholke et al., 2004). **(H and I)** Proposed early Cambrian mantle breakup sequences in autochthonous (Bradore, Forteau, and Hawke Bay formations) and allochthonous (Summerside, Blow Me Down Brook, and Irishtown formations) parts of the Humber margin in western Newfoundland. Apt. - Aptian, Barr. - Barremian, Berr. - Berriasian, BMDB - Blow Me Down Brook Formation, Cen. - Cenomanian, Haut. - Hauterivian, HST - highstand systems tract, LBS - lithospheric breakup surface, LC - Lighthouse Cove Formation, MFS - maximum flooding surface, LST - lowstand systems tract, TST - transgressive systems tract, SB - sequence boundary, Tith. - Tithonian, Tur. - Turonian, Val. - Valanginian.

static adjustment may have been a driving force for partial melting of subcontinental mantle rocks along these faults during crustal breakup. For example, igneous rocks assigned to the *ca.* 551 Ma Skinner Cove Formation and *ca.* 555 Ma Lady Slipper pluton are exposed along the Serpentine Lake and Bonne Bay transform faults (figs. 1B, 2B). Tibbit Hill Formation volcanic rocks, Lac Matapédia volcanic rocks, and Sept Îles complex rocks in mainland Atlantic Canada were also emplaced during the 570–550 Ma interval along the Missisquoi, Saguenay-Montmorency, and Sept Îles transforms (fig. 1B), respectively. The stratigraphic components, thicknesses, and exact locations of the late Ediacaran crustal breakup sequences are not well constrained, but based on Newfoundland-west Iberia modern analogues it is feasible that basal, unfossiliferous strata assigned to the Bradore Formation in the Bonne Bay and Indian Head Range areas are late Ediacaran and deposited during a forced regression (fig. 8C). Potentially time-equivalent rocks of the lowermost Blow Me Down Brook Formation that overlie and are interbedded with Ediacaran mafic volcanic units (Gillis & Burden, 2006; S. E. Palmer et al., 2001; Waldron et al., 2003) are also crustal breakup sequence candidates and deposited by sediment bypass processes in deep water settings (fig. 8D). Although speculative, we predict that Summerside Formation turbiditic strata are parts of a crustal breakup sequence based on detrital zircon statistical correlations with Flat Point Formation rocks that cover the Birchy complex (fig. 8D). The base of the Summerside Formation is not exposed and its depositional relationships with underlying crystalline basement, Ediacaran volcanic rocks, or other units are uncertain. We call for future studies to precisely date the eruption ages of Ediacaran volcanic rocks in the Humber Arm allochthon and conduct new mapping and physical stratigraphic studies of interbedded and overlying Curling group rocks to test our hypotheses and characterize the map extent and development of this crustal breakup sequence.

6.1.2. LATE EDIACARAN TO EARLY CAMBRIAN MANTLE BREAKUP IN WESTERN NEWFOUNDLAND

The mantle breakup to passive margin phase in the Newfoundland-west Iberia rift system, which we use as a modern analogue for the early Cambrian evolution of the Humber margin (fig. 8E), was characterized by excess magmatism in outboard regions underlain by exhumed mantle and hyperextended crust (e.g., Bronner et al., 2011; Eddy et al., 2017), isostatic adjustment during stress release (e.g., Braun & Beaumont, 1989), and breakup-related deposition that included regressive-transgressive cycles linked to regional uplift and relative sea level fall. Lithospheric breakup in the Newfoundland-west Iberia rift system occurred ~20 Myr after first mantle exhumation, which implies that full continental rupture is unrelated to the thinning and exhumation phases of rift development (Péron-Pinvidic & Manatschal, 2010). Soares et al. (2012) showed that the mantle breakup sequence of western Portugal has four units which from oldest to youngest represent a forced regressive systems tract, transgressive systems tract, highstand systems tract, and transgressive

systems tract with aggradational patterns at the base that transition upwards into carbonate-rich passive margin deposits (fig. 8F). An unconformity or lithospheric breakup surface is located at the base of the forced regressive interval in the inner proximal region underlain by thick crust (fig. 8F), but more distal regions of the Newfoundland margin show conformable contacts or diastems with strata related to mass wasting and sediment bypass (fig. 8G, Alves & Cunha, 2018; Soares et al., 2012).

We propose that the mantle breakup to passive margin phase in western Newfoundland resulted from complete lithospheric rupture between eastern Laurentia and Dashwoods. The precise timing of mantle breakup is uncertain, but it initiated after *ca.* 570–550 Ma exhumation of the Birchy complex. Lithospheric breakup in the modern Newfoundland-west Iberia rift system was diachronous and propagated from south to north, perhaps because of northward decrease in magma budget (Bronner et al., 2011); it is possible that lithospheric breakup in the eastern Laurentian rift system was also time-transgressive. Using the mantle breakup framework of Soares et al. (2012) and Alves and Cunha (2018), in combination with the established sequence stratigraphy of fossil-bearing units in the Labrador Group (e.g., Knight, 2013; Skovsted et al., 2017), we propose that: (1) lower to upper Bradore Formation strata are parts of a forced-regressive systems tract and the result of isostatic adjustment after mantle breakup; (2) upper Bradore Formation and lower Forteau Formation marginal-marine to marine strata preserve a transgressive systems tract capped by a maximum flooding surface; and (3) upper Forteau Formation and Hawke Bay Formation strata comprise a highstand systems tract capped by a sequence boundary and overlain by Miaolingian and younger passive margin rocks of the Port au Port Group (fig. 8H). A comparable stratigraphic evolution is inferred for lower Cambrian rock units of the Humber Arm allochthon, including: (1) turbiditic to debris flow deposits that comprise most of the Summerside and Blow Me Down Brook formations; and (2) Irishtown Formation turbiditic strata that are capped by a sequence boundary and overlain by passive margin rocks of the Northern Head Group (fig. 8I).

6.2. Correlations with Laurentian rift systems along the southern Caledonian-northern Appalachian orogenic belt and targets for future research

Crustal and mantle breakup events proposed in western Newfoundland were part of a continuum of Neoproterozoic to early Paleozoic rift processes that terminated with the establishment of the eastern Laurentian margin. Here we build on hypotheses for ancient magma-poor rift segments in the southern Caledonides and northern Appalachians to explore the tectonic significance of Ediacaran to Cambrian strata in eastern Laurentia and consider targets for future research.

6.2.1. SCOTLAND AND IRELAND

Metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks of the Argyll and Southern Highland groups and their equivalents comprise

the upper parts of the Dalradian Supergroup and record the Ediacaran to early Cambrian tectonic evolution of the Scotland and Ireland sectors of eastern Laurentia (e.g., Prave et al., 2023; Strachan & Holdsworth, 2000). The plate tectonic setting and depositional ages of these rocks are generally constrained by: (1) 604 ± 7 Ma and 612 ± 19 Ma syn-depositional barite deposits associated with upper Argyll Group mafic volcanic rocks (Easdale subgroup, Moles & Selby, 2023); (2) 595 ± 4 Ma (Halliday et al., 1989) and 601 ± 4 Ma (Dempster et al., 2002) zircon U-Pb ages for intrusive and tuffaceous units in the upper Argyll Group, respectively (e.g., Tayvallich volcanics); (3) correlation between some upper Argyll Group rocks and ca. 580 Ma Gaskiers diamictites (e.g., Prave et al., 2009); (4) ca. 576 Ma detrital zircon grains in Southern Highland Group equivalents that were derived from underlying rift-related volcanic rocks (Asta spilites; Strachan et al., 2013); and (5) Cambrian Series 2 siliciclastic and carbonate rocks of the uppermost Southern Highland Group and their equivalents that yield Tonian to Mesoarchean detrital zircon grains consistent with provenance from crystalline basement units in Labrador and Greenland (Cawood et al., 2003; Cawood, Nemchin, & Strachan, 2007; Cawood, Nemchin, Strachan, et al., 2007; Strachan et al., 2013).

Chew (2001) and Chew and van Staal (2014) concluded that upper Dalradian Supergroup successions contain ocean-continent transition zone rocks, including serpentinized continental mantle blocks and syn-sedimentary melange, which developed during regional hyperextension. For example, the Ben Lui schist unit in the Argyll Group of Scotland contains detrital chromite, chromian magnetite, and fuchsite grains and serpentinite olistoliths sourced from exhumed mantle rocks (Chew, 2001). Ultramafic detritus and serpentinite olistoliths are also embedded in graphitic pelites and spatially associated with mafic volcanic rocks of the Easdale subgroup (Chew, 2001) that are potentially correlative with ca. 612–600 Ma units in Scotland (Moles & Selby, 2023). Chew and van Staal (2014) proposed that these ocean-continent transition zone rocks were generated in a setting analogous to those of the Birchy complex in western Newfoundland, which based on our tectonic model herein, calls for the Ben Lui schist and related mafic volcanic rocks in the Argyll Group (Easdale and Tayvallich subgroups) to indicate the onset of crustal breakup by 610–600 Ma and deposition of a crustal breakup sequence in the Irish and British Isles (fig. 9A). Hyperextension and crustal breakup may have been connected to the outboard development of a Laurentian-affinity H-block (e.g., Tyrone Central Inlier in Ireland, Chew & van Staal, 2014). Although speculative, we propose that a later volcanic event, identified by ca. 576 Ma detrital zircon grains and mafic lavas in the upper Southern Highland Group and equivalents (Loch Avich Lavas Formation, Fettes et al., 2011; Asta spilites, Strachan et al., 2013), approximate the onset of mantle breakup (fig. 9A). In this scenario, full lithospheric rupture was magma-assisted and occurred ~20 Myr after first mantle exhumation, like that proposed for the modern Newfoundland-Iberia rift system. It follows that Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 strata of the upper

Southern Highland Group and its equivalents comprise a mantle breakup sequence (fig. 9A). We predict that the oldest parts of the Scotland-Ireland mantle breakup sequence were deposited during late Ediacaran crustal breakup processes in western Newfoundland (fig. 9B), which implies southward propagation of the eastern Laurentian rift system.

Future studies that constrain the depositional age, high-*n* detrital zircon provenance, and regressive-transgressive depositional cyclicity of upper Dalradian Supergroup strata are warranted to test our hypotheses. Potential candidates within the proposed mantle breakup sequence in Scotland include the Ardveck Group (upper Southern Highland Group equivalents) that were predicted by Cawood, Nemchin, & Strachan, 2007 to be correlative with the Bradore, Forteau, and Hawke Bay formations in western Newfoundland (figs. 9A, 9B). Ardveck Group strata sit unconformably on Precambrian rocks and are overlain by carbonate units of the Durness Group that are equivalent to passive margin units of the Port au Port Group (fig. 9A, Cawood, Nemchin, & Strachan, 2007).

6.2.2. NORTHEASTERN UNITED STATES AND SOUTHEASTERN CANADA

The eastern Laurentian rift system in the Quebec, Vermont, and Massachusetts Appalachians includes metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks that are exposed within structural inliers and windows. Eastern Laurentian rift evolution in these autochthonous and allochthonous successions is generally defined by: (1) ca. 570–555 Ma bimodal volcanic rocks (e.g., Tibbit Hill and Pinney Hollow formations; Hodych & Cox, 2007; Kumarapeli et al., 1989); (2) upper Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 sandstone, conglomerate, and shale units that overlie or are interlayered with volcanic rocks and locally overlie Grenville Province crystalline basement (e.g., Shickshock, St-Roch, Caldwell, and Oak Hill groups and Hoosac, Dalton, and Pinnacle formations; Allen et al., 2010; Landing, 2012; Pinet et al., 1996); and (3) Cambrian Series 2 and younger carbonate and siliciclastic rocks that indicate a transition to passive margin deposition (e.g., Forestdale and Cheshire formations; e.g., Landing, 2012; Macdonald et al., 2014).

Allochthonous Laurentian margin successions, including metasedimentary units assigned to the Rowe belt in New England and Pennington Sheet in Quebec, contain lenses of ultramafic rocks interpreted as segments of an ocean-continent transition zone (Chew & van Staal, 2014; Macdonald et al., 2014). Ultramafic lenses in the Rowe belt are exposed within metasedimentary units correlative with the ca. 571 Ma Pinney Hollow Formation, which indicates late Ediacaran mantle exhumation processes in New England were broadly equivalent to those in Newfoundland (fig. 9C, e.g., Karabinos et al., 2017; Macdonald et al., 2014). We interpret the available data to indicate that ca. 570–555 Ma igneous rocks and ultramafic-bearing metasedimentary successions in this region were generated during crustal breakup and hyperextension. As with the tectonic models for Scotland-Ireland and Newfoundland proposed herein, late Ediacaran crustal breakup in southern Quebec, Vermont, and Mass-




Figure 9. Proposed timing and correlation of late Ediacaran to early Cambrian breakup phases, breakup sequences, and related tectonic events along the eastern Laurentian margin system in the (A) Scottish and Irish Caledonides, (B) western Newfoundland Appalachians, and (C) southeast Canadian and northeast U.S. Appalachians. See text for supporting information and discussion of specific rock units shown. Cryo. - Cryogenian, DF - Dalton Formation, DZ - detrital zircon grains, FP - Flat Point Formation, Gp. - Group, GD - Grenville dikes in southeastern Canada and northeastern U.S., Ord. - Ordovician, ILG/ICG - lowermost Labrador and Curling groups, LG/CG - Labrador and Curling groups, SC - Skinner Cove Formation, SGp. - Supergroup, TP - Transition period that occurs between mantle breakup and thermal subsidence.

achusetts may have been linked with the rifting of a Laurentian-affinity H-block (Rowe block or Chain Lakes block; Karabinos et al., 2017; Macdonald et al., 2014). The crustal breakup sequence would include Pinnacle, Pinney Hollow, and ultramafic-bearing Rowe belt units in New England and equivalent units in Quebec that are interbedded and overlie Ediacaran volcanic rocks (fig. 9C); such units could be the targets of future bedrock mapping and multi-proxy sediment provenance studies that aim to precisely constrain the chronology of crustal breakup. The age of mantle breakup is uncertain, but recycled 536 ± 27 , 537 ± 21 , and 540 ± 24 Ma detrital zircon grains in lower Paleozoic strata of the Laurentian autochthon and Taconic allochthons in New England (all ages reported at 2σ , Macdonald et al., 2014) may constrain the timing of late Ediacaran to early Cambrian magma-assisted lithospheric rupture that occurred ~10–30 Myr after hyperextension and mantle exhumation (fig. 9C). Based on established stratigraphic correlations along the eastern Laurentian margin (e.g., Landing, 2012; Lavoie et al., 2003), we predict that lower Cambrian rocks that record the transition to passive margin deposition in Quebec (e.g., Oak Hill Group strata) and New England (e.g., Dalton, Cheshire, and other formations) comprise mantle breakup sequences and contain regressive-transgressive depositional cycles comparable to those for the Bradore, Forteau, and Hawke Bay formations in western Newfoundland (fig. 9C).

7. CONCLUSIONS

Labrador and Curling group strata in western Newfoundland constrain the establishment of the Humber passive margin along eastern Laurentia. Upper Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 sandstone units of the lower Labrador and Curling groups, including terrestrial to deep-marine strata that overlie crystalline rocks and rift-related lavas, have immature detrital mineral constituents (e.g., feldspar, muscovite, garnet) and *ca.* 1000–1500 Ma detrital zircon age fractions which indicate local provenance from eastern Grenville Province basement. Deep-marine units of the lowermost Curling Group are probably correlative with metasedimentary rocks (Fleur de Lys Supergroup) that overlie ultramafic units within an ocean-continent transition zone. Using modern analogues from the Newfoundland-west Iberian rift system in the North Atlantic Ocean, upper Ediacaran to Cambrian Series 2 strata comprise parts of a crustal breakup sequence that was deposited in response to 570–550 Ma hyperextension along the eastern Laurentian margin. Crustal breakup was associated with the development of major detachment faults at the edges of the Dashwoods H-block, which eventually resulted in the exhumation of continental mantle. Late Ediacaran to early Cambrian breakup of mantle lithosphere was associated with the separation of Dashwoods from eastern Laurentia and ultra-slow spreading in the Humber Seaway mar-

ginal ocean basin. Lithospheric rupture was followed by the deposition of a mantle breakup sequence, including Cambrian Series 2 to Miaolingian sandstones of the lower to upper Labrador and Curling groups, that yield 556–586 Ma and 1000–2700 Ma detrital zircon grains and indicate derivation from Proterozoic igneous and sedimentary rocks. The mantle breakup sequence from oldest to youngest comprises a Cambrian Series 2 forced-regressive systems tract, an overlying Cambrian Series 2 transgressive systems tract capped by a maximum flooding surface, and a Cambrian Series 2 to early Miaolingian highstand systems tract capped by a sequence boundary. Upper Cambrian to Lower Ordovician carbonate rocks that overlie the mantle breakup sequence constrain the establishment of the Humber passive margin in western Newfoundland. Analogous magma-poor rift processes and stratigraphic products are recognized in the Scotland-Ireland and SE Canada-NE United States segments of the eastern Laurentian margin system and may indicate southward-propagation and opening of the Humber Seaway during the late Ediacaran to early Cambrian.

.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Project funding was provided by a Petroleum Exploration and Enhancement Program grant from Nalcor Energy and the Government of Newfoundland & Labrador. John Hanchar and Core Research Equipment & Instrument Training Network staff members Wanda Aylward, Rebecca Lam, and Markus Wälle aided laboratory studies at Memorial Univer-

sity of Newfoundland. Jeffrey Pollock, Stephen Piercey, and David Lowe provided helpful feedback on the MSc thesis of Maya Soukup. Shawna White, an anonymous reviewer, Associate Editor Peter Cawood, and Editor Mark Brandon provided constructive comments that improved this manuscript.

AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT

Maya Soukup: Writing – original draft, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation. **Luke Beranek:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Supervision, Writing – original draft, review, and editing. **Stefanie Lode:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing. **Dylan Goudie:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – review and editing. **David Grant:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – review and editing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Supplementary datatables and figures are available at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25093244>.

Data will also be made available on written request to the corresponding author.

Editor: Mark T. Brandon, Associate Editor: Peter A. Cawood

Submitted: May 19, 2023 EST, Accepted: January 25, 2024 EST



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-NC-ND-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0> and legal code at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

- Allen, J. S. (2009). *Paleogeographic reconstruction of the St. Lawrence promontory, western Newfoundland* [Ph.D. thesis]. University of Kentucky.
- Allen, J. S., Thomas, W. A., & Lavoie, D. (2009). Stratigraphy and structure of the Laurentian rifted margin in the northern Appalachians: A low-angle detachment rift system. *Geology*, 37(4), 335–338. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g25371a.1>
- Allen, J. S., Thomas, W. A., & Lavoie, D. (2010). The Laurentian margin of northeastern North America. In R. P. Tollo, M. J. Bartholomew, J. P. Hibbard, & P. M. Karabinos (Eds.), *From Rodinia to Pangea: The Lithotectonic Record of the Appalachian Region: Geological Society of America in Memoir* (Vol. 206, pp. 71–90). [https://doi.org/10.1130/2010.1206\(04\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2010.1206(04))
- Alves, T. M., & Cunha, T. A. (2018). A phase of transient subsidence, sediment bypass and deposition of regressive–transgressive cycles during the breakup of Iberia and Newfoundland. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 484, 168–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.054>
- Beranek, L. P. (2017). A magma-poor rift model for the Cordilleran margin of western North America. *Geology*, 45(12), 1115–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g39265.1>
- Beranek, L. P., Hutter, A. D., Pearcey, S., James, C., Langor, V., Pike, C., Goudie, D., & Oldham, L. (2023). New evidence for the Baltican cratonic affinity and Tonian to Ediacaran tectonic evolution of West Avalonia in the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland, Canada. *Precambrian Research*, 390, 107046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2023.107046>
- Beranek, L. P., Nissen, A., Murphy, S., Grant, D., Goudie, D., Oldham, L., & Johns-Buss, E. G. (2022). Late Jurassic syn-rift deposition in the Flemish Pass basin, offshore Newfoundland: Evidence for Tithonian magmatism and Appalachian-Variscan sediment sources from quantitative mineral and detrital zircon U–Pb–Hf isotope studies of Mizzen discovery strata. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 146, 105960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2022.105960>
- Bostock, H. H., Cumming, L. M., Williams, H., & Smyth, W. R. (1983). *Geology of the Strait of Belle Isle area, northwestern insular Newfoundland, southern Labrador and adjacent Quebec*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/109264>
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J. D., & Patchett, P. J. (2008). The Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: Constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 273(1–2), 48–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010>
- Boyce, W. D. (2021). A lower Cambrian Lenaldanian Series (Stage 4 – late Dyeran) olenellid trilobite from the Forteau Formation (Labrador Group), Man O’War I-42 well, western Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Current Research*, 21–1, 65–71. https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/CurrentResearch_Boyce_2021.pdf
- Bradley, D. C. (2008). Passive margins through earth history. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 91(1–4), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.08.001>
- Braun, J., & Beaumont, C. (1989). A physical explanation of the relation between flank uplifts and the breakup unconformity at rifted continental margins. *Geology*, 17(8), 760–764. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1989\)017](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017)
- Bronner, A., Sauter, D., Manatschal, G., Péron-Pinvidic, G., & Munsch, M. (2011). Magmatic breakup as an explanation for magnetic anomalies at magma-poor rifted margins. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(8), 549–553. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1201>
- Brückner, W. D. (1966). Stratigraphy and structure of western Newfoundland. In W. H. Poole (Ed.), *Geology of Parts of Atlantic Provinces* (pp. 137–151). Geological Association of Canada and Mineralogical Association of Canada.
- Burden, E., Calon, T., Normore, L., & Stowbridge, S. (2001). Stratigraphy and structure of sedimentary rocks in the Humber Arm allochthon, southwestern Bay of Islands, Newfoundland. *Current Research. Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Report 1*, 15–22. <https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/mines-geoscience-publications-currentresearch-2001-burden.pdf>
- Burden, E., Gillis, E., & French, E. (2005). Tectonostratigraphy of an exhumed Blow Me Down Brook Formation hydrocarbon reservoir, Sluice Brook, western Newfoundland. *Current Research. Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Report 1*, 63–71. <https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/mines-geoscience-publications-currentresearch-2005-burden.pdf>

- Cawood, P. A. (1993). Acadian orogeny in west Newfoundland: Definition, character, and significance. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 135–152. <https://doi.org/10.1130/spe275-p135>
- Cawood, P. A., & Botsford, J. W. (1991). Facies and structural contrasts across Bonne Bay cross-strike discontinuity, western Newfoundland. *American Journal of Science*, 291(8), 737–759. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.291.8.737>
- Cawood, P. A., Dunning, G. R., Lux, D., & van Gool, J. A. M. (1994). Timing of peak metamorphism and deformation along the Appalachian margin of Laurentia in Newfoundland: Silurian, not Ordovician. *Geology*, 22(5), 399–402. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1994\)022](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022)
- Cawood, P. A., Hawkesworth, C. J., & Dhuime, B. (2012). Detrital zircon record and tectonic setting. *Geology*, 40(10), 875–878. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g32945.1>
- Cawood, P. A., McCausland, P. J. A., & Dunning, G. R. (2001). Opening Iapetus: Constraints from the Laurentian margin in Newfoundland. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 113(4), 443–453. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(2001\)113](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113)
- Cawood, P. A., & Nemchin, A. A. (2001). Paleogeographic development of the east Laurentian margin: Constraints from U-Pb dating of detrital zircons in the Newfoundland Appalachians. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 113(9), 1234–1246. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(2001\)113](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113)
- Cawood, P. A., Nemchin, A. A., Smith, M., & Loewy, S. (2003). Source of the Dalradian Supergroup constrained by U-Pb dating of detrital zircon and implications for the East Laurentian margin. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 160(2), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-764902-039>
- Cawood, P. A., Nemchin, A. A., & Strachan, R. (2007). Provenance record of Laurentian passive-margin strata in the northern Caledonides: Implications for paleodrainage and paleogeography. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 119(7–8), 993–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1130/b26152.1>
- Cawood, P. A., Nemchin, A. A., Strachan, R., Prave, T., & Krabbendam, M. (2007). Sedimentary basin and detrital zircon record along East Laurentia and Baltica during assembly and breakup of Rodinia. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 164(2), 257–275. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492006-115>
- Cawood, P. A., & van Gool, J. A. M. (1998). *Geology of the Corner Brook–Glover Island region, Newfoundland*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/209573>
- Cawood, P. A., van Gool, J. A. M., & Dunning, G. R. (1996). Geological development of eastern Humber and western Dunnage zones: Corner Brook–Glover Island region, Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 33(2), 182–198. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e96-017>
- Chao, P., Manatschal, G., Zhang, C., Chenin, P., Ren, J., Pang, X., & Zheng, J. (2023). The transition from continental to lithospheric breakup recorded in proto-oceanic crust: Insights from the NW South China Sea. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 135(3–4), 886–902. <https://doi.org/10.1130/b36371.1>
- Chew, D. M. (2001). Basement protrusion origin of serpentinite in the Dalradian. *Irish Journal of Earth Sciences*, 19, 23–35. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/30002538>
- Chew, D. M., & van Staal, C. R. (2014). The ocean-continent transition zones along the Appalachian-Caledonian margin of Laurentia: Examples of Large-Scale Hyperextension During the Opening of the Iapetus Ocean. *Geoscience Canada*, 41(2), 165–185. <https://doi.org/10.12789/geocanj.2014.41.040>
- Cohen, K. M., Finney, S. C., Gibbard, P. L., & Fan, J. X. (2013). The ICS International Chronostratigraphic Chart (updated 2023/09). *Episodes*, 36(3), 199–204. <https://doi.org/10.18814/epiugs/2013/v36i3/00>
- DeGraaff-Surpless, K., Mahoney, J. B., Wooden, J. L., & McWilliams, M. O. (2003). Lithofacies control in detrital zircon provenance studies: Insights from the Cretaceous Methow basin, southern Canadian Cordillera. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 115(8), 899–915. <https://doi.org/10.1130/b25267.1>
- Dempster, T. J., Rogers, G., Tanner, P. W. G., Bluck, B. J., Muir, R. J., Redwood, S. D., Ireland, T. R., & Paterson, B. A. (2002). Timing of deposition, orogenesis and glaciation within the Dalradian rocks of Scotland: constraints from U-Pb zircon ages. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 159(1), 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-764901061>
- Dunkley, D. J., Kusiak, M. A., Wilde, S. A., Whitehouse, M. J., Sałacińska, A., Kielman, R., & Konečný, P. (2020). Two Neoproterozoic tectonothermal events on the western edge of the North Atlantic craton, as revealed by SIMS dating of the Saglek block, Nain province, Labrador. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 177(1), 31–49. <https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2018-153>

- Eddy, M. P., Jagoutz, O., & Ibañez-Mejía, M. (2017). Timing of initial seafloor spreading in the Newfoundland-Iberia rift. *Geology*, 45(6), 527–530. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g38766.1>
- Fettes, D. J., Macdonald, R., Fitton, J. G., Stephenson, D., & Cooper, M. R. (2011). Geochemical evolution of Dalradian metavolcanic rocks: implications for the break-up of the Rodinia supercontinent. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 168(5), 1133–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492010-161>
- Gillis, E., & Burden, E. (2006). New insights into the stratigraphy of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation, western Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Report 1*, 233–241. <https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/mines-geoscience-publications-currentresearch-2006-gillis.pdf>
- Grant, D. C., Goudie, D. J., Voisey, C., Shaffer, M., & Sylvester, P. (2018). Discriminating hematite and magnetite via Scanning Electron Microscope–Mineral Liberation Analyzer in the –200 mesh size fraction of iron ores. *Applied Earth Science*, 127(1), 30–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03717453.2017.1422334>
- Halliday, A. N., Graham, C. M., Aftalion, M., & Dymoke, P. (1989). Short Paper: The depositional age of the Dalradian Supergroup: U-Pb and Sm-Nd isotopic studies of the Tayvallich Volcanics, Scotland. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 146(1), 3–6. <https://doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.146.1.0003>
- Heaman, L. M., Erdmer, P., & Owen, J. V. (2002). U–Pb geochronologic constraints on the crustal evolution of the Long Range inlier, Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 39(5), 845–865. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e02-015>
- Herbosch, A., & Verniers, J. (2011). What is the biostratigraphic value of the ichnofossil *Oldhamia* for the Cambrian: A review. *Geologica Belgica*, 14(3–4), 229–248. <https://popups.uliege.be/1374-8505/index.php?id=3398>
- Hibbard, J. P. (1983). *Geology of the Baie Verte Peninsula, Newfoundland*. Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador.
- Hibbard, J. P. (1988). Stratigraphy of the Fleur de Lys Belt, northwest Newfoundland. In J. A. Winchester (Ed.), *Later Proterozoic Stratigraphy of the North Atlantic Regions* (pp. 200–211). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7344-9_16
- Hibbard, J. P., St. Julien, P., & Trzcienski, W. E., Jr. (1995). Humber Zone internal. In H. Williams (Ed.), *Geology of the Appalachian-Caledonian Orogen in Canada and Greenland* (pp. 114–139). Geological Survey of Canada.
- Hibbard, J. P., van Staal, C. R., Rankin, D. W., & Williams, H. (2006). *Lithotectonic map of the Appalachian orogen, Canada–United States of America*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/221912>
- Higgins, M. D., & van Breemen, O. (1998). The age of the Sept Îles layered mafic intrusion, Canada: Implications for the late Neoproterozoic/Cambrian history of southeastern Canada. *The Journal of Geology*, 106(4), 421–432. <https://doi.org/10.1086/516033>
- Hiscott, R. N., James, N. P., & Pemberton, S. G. (1984). Sedimentology and ichnology of the lower Cambrian Bradore Formation, coastal Labrador: Fluvial to shallow-marine transgressive sequence. *Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology*, 32, 11–26. <https://doi.org/10.35767/gscpgbull.32.1.011>
- Hodgin, E. B., Macdonald, F. A., Crowley, J. L., & Schmitz, M. D. (2021). A Laurentian cratonic reference from the distal Proterozoic basement of western Newfoundland using tandem in situ and isotope dilution U-Pb zircon and titanite geochronology. *American Journal of Science*, 321(7), 1045–1079. <https://doi.org/10.2475/07.2021.02>
- Hodgin, E. B., Macdonald, F. A., Karabinos, P., Crowley, J. L., & Reusch, D. N. (2022). A reevaluation of the tectonic history of the Dashwoods terrane using in situ and isotope-dilution U-Pb geochronology, western Newfoundland. In Y. D. Kuiper, J. B. Murphy, R. D. Nance, R. A. Strachan, & M. D. Thompson (Eds.), *New Developments in the Appalachian-Caledonian-Variscan Orogen* (pp. 243–264). Geological Society of America. [https://doi.org/10.1130/2021.2554\(10\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2021.2554(10))
- Hodych, J. P., & Cox, R. A. (2007). Ediacaran U–Pb zircon dates for the Lac Matapédia and Mt. St.-Anselme basalts of the Quebec Appalachians: support for a long-lived mantle plume during the rifting phase of Iapetus opening. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 44(4), 565–581. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e06-112>
- Hoffman, P. F. (1988). United Plates of America, the birth of a craton: Early Proterozoic assembly and growth of Laurentia. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 16(1), 543–603. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.16.050188.002551>
- Hoffman, P. F. (1991). Did the breakout of Laurentia turn Gondwanaland inside-out? *Science*, 252(5011), 1409–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.252.5011.1409>
- Huisman, R. S., & Beaumont, C. (2011). Depth-dependent extension, two-stage breakup and cratonic underplating at rifted margins. *Nature*, 473(7345), 74–78. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09988>

- Huismans, R. S., & Beaumont, C. (2014). Rifted continental margins: the case for depth-dependent extension. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 407, 148–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.09.032>
- Hutter, A. D., & Beranek, L. P. (2020). Provenance of Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous synrift strata in the Terra Nova oil field, Jeanne d'Arc basin, offshore Newfoundland: A new detrital zircon U-Pb-Hf reference frame for the Atlantic Canadian margin. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 104(11), 2325–2349. <https://doi.org/10.1306/02232018241>
- James, N. P., & Debrenne, F. (1980). First regular archaeocyaths from the northern Appalachians, Forteau Formation, western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 17(12), 1609–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e80-172>
- James, N. P., Stevens, R. K., Barnes, C. R., & Knight, I. (1989). Evolution of a lower Paleozoic continental-margin carbonate platform, northern Canadian Appalachians. In P. D. Crevello, J. J. Wilson, J. F. Sarg, & J. F. Read (Eds.), *Controls on Carbonate Platforms and Basin Development* (pp. 123–146). Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists. <https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.89.44.0123>
- Johns-Buss, E. G., Beranek, L. P., Enkelmann, E., Jess, S., & Matthews, W. (2023). Exhumation history and Early Cretaceous paleogeography of the Newfoundland margin revealed by detrital zircon U–Pb and fission-track studies of syn-rift Hibernia Formation strata. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 148, 106055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2022.106055>
- Kamo, S. L., Gower, C. F., & Krogh, T. E. (1989). Birthdate for the Iapetus Ocean? A precise U–Pb zircon and baddeleyite age for the Long Range dikes, southeast Labrador. *Geology*, 17(7), 602–605. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1989\)017](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017)
- Kamo, S. L., Krogh, T. E., & Kumarapeli, P. S. (1995). Age of the Grenville dyke swarm, Ontario–Quebec: implications for the timing of Iapetus rifting. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 32(3), 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e95-022>
- Karabinos, P., Macdonald, F. A., & Crowley, J. L. (2017). Bridging the gap between the foreland and hinterland I: Geochronology and plate tectonic geometry of Ordovician magmatism and terrane accretion on the Laurentian margin of New England. *American Journal of Science*, 317(5), 515–554. <https://doi.org/10.2475/05.2017.01>
- Kemp, A. I. S., Whitehouse, M. J., & Vervoort, J. D. (2019). Deciphering the zircon Hf isotope systematics of Eoarchean gneisses from Greenland: implications for ancient crust–mantle differentiation and Pb isotope controversies. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 250, 76–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.01.041>
- Knight, I. (2013). *The Forteau Formation, Labrador Group, in Gros Morne National Park: a preliminary reassessment of its stratigraphy and lithofacies* (pp. 267–300) [Report 13-1]. Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador. https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/Knight_2013.pdf
- Knight, I., & Boyce, W. D. (2014). *Lithostratigraphy and correlation of measured sections, middle Cambrian Hawke Bay Formation, western Port au Port Peninsula*. Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Open File 012B/06/0626.
- Knight, I., Boyce, W. D., Skovsted, C. B., & Balthasar, U. (2017). The lower Cambrian Forteau Formation, southern Labrador and Great Northern Peninsula, western Newfoundland: Lithostratigraphy, trilobites, and depositional setting. *Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Occasional Paper*, 1. <https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/mines-geoscience-publications-reports-occasional-paper-2017-01.pdf>
- Knight, I., James, N. P., & Lane, T. E. (1991). The Ordovician St. George unconformity, northern Appalachians: The relationship of plate convergence at the St. Lawrence Promontory to the Sauk/Tippecanoe sequence boundary. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 103(9), 1200–1225. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1991\)103](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103)
- Kumarapeli, P. S., Dunning, G. R., Pintson, H., & Shaver, J. (1989). Geochemistry and U–Pb zircon age of comenditic metafelsites of the Tibbit Hill Formation, Quebec Appalachians. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 26(7), 1374–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e89-117>
- LaFlamme, C., Sylvester, P. J., Hinchey, A. M., & Davis, W. J. (2013). U–Pb age and Hf-isotope geochemistry of zircon from felsic volcanic rocks of the Paleoproterozoic Aillik Group, Makkovik Province, Labrador. *Precambrian Research*, 224, 129–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2012.09.005>

- Landing, E. (2012). The great American carbonate bank in eastern Laurentia: Its births, deaths, and linkage to paleoceanic oxygenation (Early Cambrian-Late Ordovician). In J. R. Derby, R. D. Fritz, S. A. Longacre, W. A. Morgan, & C. A. Sternbach (Eds.), *The Great American Carbonate Bank: The Geology and Economic Resources of the Cambrian-Ordovician Sauk Megasequence of Laurentia* (pp. 451–492). American Association of Petroleum Geologists. <https://doi.org/10.1306/13331502m983502>
- Landing, E., & Bartowski, K. E. (1996). Oldest shelly fossils from the Taconic allochthon and late Early Cambrian sea-levels in eastern Laurentia. *Journal of Paleontology*, 70(5), 741–761. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022336000023799>
- Landing, E., Webster, M., & Bowser, S. S. (2024). Terminal Ediacaran–Late Ordovician evolution of the NE Laurentia palaeocontinent: rift–drift–onset of Taconic Orogeny, sea-level change and ‘Hawke Bay’ onlap (not offlap). *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 542(1). <https://doi.org/10.1144/sp542-2023-4>
- Lavoie, D., Burden, E., & Lebel, D. (2003). Stratigraphic framework for the Cambrian–Ordovician rift and passive margin successions from southern Quebec to western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 40(2), 177–205. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e02-078>
- Li, Z. X., Bogdanova, S. V., Collins, A. S., Davidson, A., De Waele, B., Ernst, R. E., Fitzsimons, I. C. W., Fuck, R. A., Gladkochub, D. P., Jacobs, J., Karlstrom, K. E., Lu, S., Natapov, L. M., Pease, V., Pisarevsky, S. A., Thrane, K., & Vernikovsky, V. (2008). Assembly, configuration, and break-up history of Rodinia: a synthesis. *Precambrian Research*, 160(1–2), 179–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2007.04.021>
- Lindholm, R. M., & Casey, J. F. (1990). The distribution and possible biostratigraphic significance of the ichnogenus *Oldhamia* in the shales of the Blow Me Down Brook Formation, western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 27(10), 1270–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e90-137>
- Long, D. G. F., & Yip, S. S. (2009). The early Cambrian Bradore Formation of southeastern Labrador and adjacent parts of Quebec: architecture and genesis of clastic strata on an early Paleozoic wave-swept shallow marine shelf. *Sedimentary Geology*, 215(1–4), 50–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2009.01.001>
- Macdonald, F. A., Ryan-Davis, J., Coish, R. A., Crowley, J. L., & Karabinos, P. (2014). A newly identified Gondwanan terrane in the northern Appalachian Mountains: Implications for the Taconic orogeny and closure of the Iapetus Ocean. *Geology*, 42(6), 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g35659.1>
- Macdonald, F. A., Yonkee, W. A., Flowers, R. M., & Swanson-Hysell, N. L. (2023). Neoproterozoic of Laurentia. In S. J. Whitmeyer, M. L. Williams, D. A. Kellett, & B. Tikoff (Eds.), *Laurentia: Turning Points in the Evolution of a Continent* (pp. 331–380). Geological Society of America. [https://doi.org/10.1130/2022.1220\(19\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2022.1220(19))
- Mata, J., Alves, C. F., Martins, L., Miranda, R., Madeira, J., Pimentel, N., Martins, S., Azevedo, M. R., Youbi, N., De Min, A., Almeida, I. M., Bensalah, M. K., & Terrinha, P. (2015). 40Ar/39Ar ages and petrogenesis of the West Iberian Margin onshore magmatism at the Jurassic–Cretaceous transition: Geodynamic implications and assessment of open-system processes involving saline materials. *Lithos*, 236–237, 156–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.09.001>
- McCausland, P. J. A., Hankard, F., van der Voo, R., & Hall, C. M. (2011). Ediacaran paleogeography of Laurentia: Paleomagnetism and 40Ar–39Ar geochronology of the 583 Ma Baie des Moutons syenite, Quebec. *Precambrian Research*, 187(1–2), 58–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.02.004>
- McCausland, P. J. A., Pisarevsky, S., Jourdan, F., & Higgins, M. (2009). Laurentia at 571 Ma: Preliminary paleomagnetism and Ar–Ar age of the Ediacaran St. Honoré alkali intrusion, Quebec (abstract GA12A-01). *Proceedings of the American Geophysical Union-Geological Association of Canada-Mineralogical Association of Canada-Canadian Geophysical Union Joint Assembly*.
- McCausland, P. J. A., Van der Voo, R., & Hall, C. M. (2007). Circum-Iapetus paleogeography of the Precambrian–Cambrian transition with a new paleomagnetic constraint from Laurentia. *Precambrian Research*, 156(3–4), 125–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2007.03.004>
- McClelland, W. C., Strauss, J. V., Colpron, M., Gilotti, J. A., Faehnrich, K., Malone, S. J., Gehrels, G. E., Macdonald, F. A., & Oldow, J. S. (2021). ‘Taters versus Sliders: Evidence for a long-lived history of strike-slip displacement along the Canadian Arctic Transform System (CATS). *GSA Today*, 31(7), 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1130/gsatg500a.1>

- Miller, B. V., & Barr, S. M. (2004). Metamorphosed gabbroic dikes related to opening of Iapetus Ocean at the St. Lawrence Promontory: Blair River Inlier, Nova Scotia, Canada. *The Journal of Geology*, 112(3), 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1086/382759>
- Moczyłowska, M. (1991). Acritarch biostratigraphy of the Lower Cambrian and the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary in southeastern Poland. *Fossils and Strata*, 1–127. <https://doi.org/10.18261/8200374742-1991-01>
- Moczyłowska, M., & Zang, W.-L. (2006). The Early Cambrian acritarch Skiagia and its significance for global correlation. *Palaeoworld*, 15(3–4), 328–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palwor.2006.10.003>
- Moles, N. R., & Selby, D. (2023). Implications of new geochronological constraints on the Aberfeldy stratiform barite deposits, Scotland, for the depositional continuity and global correlation of the Neoproterozoic Dalradian Supergroup. *Precambrian Research*, 384, 106925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2022.106925>
- O'Brien, T. M., & van der Pluijm, B. A. (2012). Timing of Iapetus Ocean rifting from Ar geochronology of pseudotachylytes in the St. Lawrence rift system of southern Quebec. *Geology*, 40(5), 443–446. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g32691.1>
- Olierook, H. K. H., Barham, M., Kirkland, C. L., Hollis, J., & Vass, A. (2020). Zircon fingerprint of the Neoproterozoic North Atlantic: Perspectives from East Greenland. *Precambrian Research*, 342, 105653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105653>
- Palmer, A. R., & James, N. P. (1980). The Hawke Bay event: a circum-Iapetus regression near the Lower-Middle Cambrian boundary. In D. R. Wones (Ed.), *The Caledonides in the USA* (pp. 15–18). Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Palmer, S. E., Burden, E., & Waldron, J. W. F. (2001). Stratigraphy of the Curling Group (Cambrian), Humber Arm allochthon, Bay of Islands. *Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, Report 1*, 105–112. <https://www.gov.nl.ca/iet/files/mines-geoscience-publications-currentresearch-2001-palmer.pdf>
- Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., & Hergt, J. (2011). Iolite: Freeware for the visualisation and processing of mass spectrometric data. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 26(12), 2508–2518. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ja10172b>
- Peace, A. L., Sandeman, H. A. I., Welford, J. K., Dunning, G. R., & Camacho, A. (2024). Tithonian mafic intrusions in north-central Newfoundland: link to Atlantic rifting? *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 61(1), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2023-0022>
- Pemberton, S. G., & Kobluk, D. R. (1978). Oldest known brachiopod burrow: the lower Cambrian of Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 15(8), 1385–1389. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e78-146>
- Pereira, R., Alves, T. M., & Mata, J. (2017). Alternating crustal architecture in West Iberia: a review of its significance in the context of NE Atlantic rifting. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 174(3), 522–540. <https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2016-050>
- Péron-Pinvidic, G., & Manatschal, G. (2010). From microcontinents to extensional allochthons: witnesses of how continents rift and break apart? *Petroleum Geoscience*, 16(3), 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-903>
- Peron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., & Osmundsen, P. T. (2013). Structural comparison of archetypal Atlantic rifted margins: A review of observations and concepts. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 43, 21–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.02.002>
- Petrus, J. A., & Kamber, B. S. (2012). VizualAge: A novel approach to laser ablation ICP-MS U-Pb geochronology data reduction. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 36(3), 247–270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908x.2012.00158.x>
- Piercey, S. J., & Colpron, M. (2009). Composition and provenance of the Snowcap assemblage, basement to the Yukon-Tanana terrane, northern Cordillera: Implications for Cordilleran crustal growth. *Geosphere*, 5(5), 439–464. <https://doi.org/10.1130/ges00505.s3>
- Pinet, N., Castonguay, S., & Tremblay, A. (1996). Thrusting and back thrusting in the Taconian internal zone, southern Quebec Appalachians. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 33(9), 1283–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e96-097>
- Pisarevsky, S. A., Wingate, M. T. D., Powell, C. M., Johnson, S., & Evans, D. A. D. (2003). Models of Rodinia assembly and fragmentation. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 206(1), 35–55. <https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.2003.206.01.04>

- Powell, J. W., Schneider, D. A., Desrochers, A., Flowers, R. M., Metcalf, J. R., Gaidies, F., & Stockli, D. F. (2018). Low-temperature thermochronology of Anticosti Island: A case study on the application of conodont (U-Th)/He thermochronology to carbonate basin analysis. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, *96*, 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2018.05.018>
- Prave, A. R., Fallick, A. E., & Kirsimäe, K. (2023). Evidence, or not, for the late Tonian break-up of Rodinia? The Dalradian Supergroup, Scotland. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *180*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2022-134>
- Prave, A. R., Fallick, A. E., Thomas, C. W., & Graham, C. M. (2009). A composite C-isotope profile for the Neoproterozoic Dalradian Supergroup of Scotland and Ireland. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *166*(5), 845–857. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492008-131>
- Riley, G. C. (1962). *Stephenville map-area, Newfoundland*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/123898>
- Rivers, T. (1997). Lithotectonic elements of the Grenville Province: review and tectonic implications. *Precambrian Research*, *86*(3–4), 117–154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-9268\(97\)00038-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-9268(97)00038-7)
- Robert, B., Domeier, M., & Jakob, J. (2021). On the origins of the Iapetus Ocean. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *221*, 103791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103791>
- Ross, G. M., & Villeneuve, M. (2003). Provenance of the Mesoproterozoic (1.45 Ga) Belt basin (western North America): Another piece in the pre-Rodinia paleogeographic puzzle. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, *115*(10), 1191–1217. <https://doi.org/10.1130/b25209.1>
- Saylor, J. E., Jordan, J. C., Sundell, K. E., Wang, X., Wang, S., & Deng, T. (2018). Topographic growth of the Jishi Shan and its impact on basin and hydrology evolution, NE Tibetan Plateau. *Basin Research*, *30*(3), 544–563. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12264>
- Saylor, J. E., & Sundell, K. E. (2016). Quantifying comparison of large detrital geochronology data sets. *Geosphere*, *12*(1), 203–220. <https://doi.org/10.1130/ges01237.1>
- Schuchert, C., & Dunbar, C. O. (1934). *Stratigraphy of western Newfoundland*. Geological Society of America. <https://doi.org/10.1130/mem1>
- Skovsted, C. B., Knight, I., Balthasar, U., & Boyce, W. D. (2017). Depth related brachiopod faunas from the lower Cambrian Forteau Formation of southern Labrador and western Newfoundland, Canada. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, *20*(3.54A), 1–52. <https://doi.org/10.26879/775>
- Soares, D. M., Alves, T. M., & Terrinha, P. (2012). The breakup sequence and associated lithospheric breakup surface: Their significance in the context of rifted continental margins (West Iberia and Newfoundland margins, North Atlantic). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *355–356*, 311–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.08.036>
- Soares, D. M., Alves, T. M., & Terrinha, P. (2014). Contourite drifts on early passive margins as an indicator of established lithospheric breakup. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *401*, 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.06.001>
- Söderlund, U., Patchett, P. J., Vervoort, J. D., & Isachsen, C. E. (2004). The ¹⁷⁶Lu decay constant determined by Lu–Hf and U–Pb isotope systematics of Precambrian mafic intrusions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *219*(3–4), 311–324. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(04\)00012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(04)00012-3)
- Soukup, M. (2022). *Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of Labrador and Curling group strata, Humber zone, Newfoundland Appalachians* [M.Sc. thesis]. Memorial University of Newfoundland.
- Strachan, R. A., & Holdsworth, R. E. (2000). Late Neoproterozoic (<750 Ma) to Early Ordovician passive margin sedimentation along the Laurentian margin of Iapetus. In N. Woodcock & R. A. Strachan (Eds.), *Geological History of Britain and Ireland* (pp. 73–87). Blackwell Scientific.
- Strachan, R. A., Prave, A. R., Kirkland, C. L., & Storey, C. D. (2013). U–Pb detrital zircon geochronology of the Dalradian Supergroup, Shetland Islands, Scotland: Implications for regional correlations and Neoproterozoic–Palaeozoic basin development. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *170*(6), 905–916. <https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2013-057>
- Strowbridge, S., Indares, A., Dunning, G., & Wälle, M. (2022). A Tonian volcano-sedimentary succession in Newfoundland, eastern North America: A post-Grenvillian link to the Asgard Sea? *Geology*, *50*(6), 655–659. <https://doi.org/10.1130/g49885.1>
- Sundell, K. E., Gehrels, G. E., & Pecha, M. E. (2021). Rapid U–Pb geochronology by laser ablation multi-collector ICP–MS. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, *45*(1), 37–57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12355>

- Sundell, K. E., & Saylor, J. E. (2017). Unmixing detrital geochronology age distributions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 18(8), 2872–2886. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gc006774>
- Sundell, K. E., & Saylor, J. E. (2021). Two-dimensional quantitative comparison of density distributions in detrital geochronology and geochemistry. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22(4), e2020GC009559. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gc009559>
- Sundell, K. E., Saylor, J. E., & Pecha, M. (2019). Provenance and recycling of detrital zircons from Cenozoic Altiplano strata and the crustal evolution of western South America from combined U-Pb and Lu-Hf isotopic analysis. *Andean Tectonics*, 363–397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-816009-1.00014-9>
- Sutra, E., Manatschal, G., Mohn, G., & Unternehr, P. (2013). Quantification and restoration of extensional deformation along the western Iberia and Newfoundland rifted margins. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 14(8), 2575–2597. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20135>
- Sylvester, P. J. (2012). Use of the mineral liberation analyzer (MLA) for mineralogical studies of sediments and sedimentary rocks. *Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Cours*, 42, 1–16.
- Tegner, C., Andersen, T. B., Kjøl, H. J., Brown, E. L., Hagen-Peter, G., Corfu, F., Planke, S., & Torsvik, T. H. (2019). A mantle plume origin for the Scandinavian dyke complex: A “piercing point” for 615 Ma plate reconstruction for Baltica? *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 20(2), 1075–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007941>
- Thomas, W. A. (1977). Evolution of Appalachian-Ouachita salients and recesses from reentrants and promontories in the continental margin. *American Journal of Science*, 277(10), 1233–1278. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.277.10.1233>
- Thomas, W. A. (1991). The Appalachian-Ouachita rifted margin of southeastern North America. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 103(3), 415–431. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1991\)103](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103)
- Thomas, W. A. (1993). Low-angle detachment geometry of the late Precambrian-Cambrian Appalachian-Ouachita rifted margin of southeastern North America. *Geology*, 21(10), 921–924. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1993\)021](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1993)021)
- Tucholke, B. E., Sibuet, J. C., & Klaus, A. (2004). 1. Leg 210 Summary. In *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Initial Reports* (Vol. 210, pp. 1–78). http://www-odp.tamu.edu/publications/210_IR/VOLUME/CHAPTERS/IR210_01.PDF
- van Staal, C. R., & Barr, S. M. (2012). Lithospheric architecture and tectonic evolution of the Canadian Appalachians. In J. A. Percival, F. A. Cook, & R. M. Clowes (Eds.), *Tectonic Styles in Canada Revisited: The LITHOPROBE Perspective* (pp. 41–95). Geological Association of Canada.
- van Staal, C. R., Chew, D. M., Zagorevski, A., McNicoll, V., Hibbard, J. P., Skulski, T., Castonguay, S., Escayola, M. P., & Sylvester, P. J. (2013). Evidence of late Ediacaran hyperextension of the Laurentian Iapetan margin in the Birchy complex, Baie Verte Peninsula, northwest Newfoundland: Implications for the opening of Iapetus, formation of peri-Laurentian microcontinents and Taconic–Grampian orogenesis. *Geoscience Canada*, 40(2), 94–117. <https://doi.org/10.12789/geocanj.2013.40.006>
- van Staal, C. R., & Dewey, J. F. (2023). A review and tectonic interpretation of the Taconian–Grampian tract between Newfoundland and Scotland: diachronous accretion of an extensive forearc–arc–backarc system to a hyperextended Laurentian margin and subsequent subduction polarity reversal. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 531(1), 11–46. <https://doi.org/10.1144/sp531-2022-152>
- Vermeesch, P. (2013). Multi-sample comparison of detrital age distributions. *Chemical Geology*, 341, 140–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.01.010>
- Vermeesch, P. (2018). Dissimilarity measures in detrital geochronology. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 178, 310–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.027>
- Vermeesch, P. (2021). Maximum depositional age estimation revisited. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 12(2), 843–850. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2020.08.008>
- Vervoort, J. D., Patchett, P. J., Blichert-Toft, J., & Albarède, F. (1999). Relationships between Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd isotopic systems in the global sedimentary system. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 168(1–2), 79–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(99\)00047-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(99)00047-3)
- Volkert, R. A., Feigenson, M. D., Mana, S., & Bolge, L. (2015). Geochemical and Sr–Nd isotopic constraints on the mantle source of Neoproterozoic mafic dikes of the rifted eastern Laurentian margin, north-central Appalachians, USA. *Lithos*, 212–215, 202–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.11.011>

- Waldron, J. W. F., Anderson, S. D., Cawood, P. A., Goodwin, L. B., Hall, J., Jamieson, R. A., Palmer, S. E., Stockmal, G. S., & Williams, P. F. (1998). Evolution of the Appalachian Laurentian margin: Lithoprobe results in western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 35(11), 1271–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e98-053>
- Waldron, J. W. F., Henry, A. D., Bradley, J. C., & Palmer, S. E. (2003). Development of a folded thrust stack: Humber Arm Allochthon, Bay of Islands, Newfoundland Appalachians. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 40(2), 237–253. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e02-042>
- Waldron, J. W. F., & Stockmal, G. S. (1991). Mid-Paleozoic thrusting at the Appalachian deformation front: Port au Port Peninsula, western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 28(12), 1992–2002. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e91-181>
- Waldron, J. W. F., & van Staal, C. R. (2001). Taconian orogeny and the accretion of the Dashwoods block: A peri-Laurentian microcontinent in the Iapetus Ocean. *Geology*, 29(9), 811–814. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(2001\)029](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029)
- Wasilewski, B., O'Neil, J., Rizo, H., Paquette, J.-L., & Gannoun, A.-M. (2021). Over one billion years of Archean crust evolution revealed by zircon U-Pb and Hf isotopes from the Saglek-Hebron complex. *Precambrian Research*, 359, 106092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2021.106092>
- White, S. E., & Waldron, J. W. F. (2019). Inversion of Taconian extensional structures during Paleozoic orogenesis in western Newfoundland. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 470(1), 311–336. <https://doi.org/10.1144/sp470.17>
- White, S. E., & Waldron, J. W. F. (2022). Along-strike variations in the deformed Laurentian margin in the Northern Appalachians: Role of inherited margin geometry and colliding arcs. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 226, 103931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.103931>
- Whitmeyer, S. J., & Karlstrom, K. E. (2007). Tectonic model for the Proterozoic growth of North America. *Geosphere*, 3(4), 220–259. <https://doi.org/10.1130/ges00055.1>
- Williams, H. (1979). Appalachian orogen in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 16(3), 792–807. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e79-070>
- Williams, H. (1985). *Geology, Stephenville map area [north 1/2], Newfoundland*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/120341>
- Williams, H., & Cawood, P. A. (1989). *Geology, Humber Arm Allochthon, Newfoundland*. Geological Survey of Canada. <https://doi.org/10.4095/126990>
- Williams, H., Gillespie, R. T., & van Breemen, O. (1985). A late Precambrian rift-related igneous suite in western Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 22(11), 1727–1735. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e85-181>
- Williams, H., & Hiscott, R. N. (1987). Definition of the Iapetus rift-drift transition in western Newfoundland. *Geology*, 15(11), 1044–1047. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1987\)15](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1987)15)
- Williams, H., & Stevens, R. K. (1969). Geology of Belle Isle—northern extremity of the deformed Appalachian miogeosynclinal belt. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 6(5), 1145–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e69-116>
- Willner, A. P., Gerdes, A., Massonne, H.-J., van Staal, C. R., & Zagorevski, A. (2014). Crustal evolution of the northeast Laurentian margin the peri-Gondwanan microcontinent Ganderia prior to and during closure of the Iapetus Ocean. *Geoscience Canada*, 41(3), 345–364. <https://doi.org/10.12789/geocanj.2014.41.046>
- Wissink, G. K., Wilkinson, B. H., & Hoke, G. D. (2018). Pairwise sample comparisons and multidimensional scaling of detrital zircon ages with examples from the North American platform, basin, and passive margin settings. *Lithosphere*, 10(3), 478–491. <https://doi.org/10.1130/1700.1>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Figure S1. Field photographs of detrital zircon sample sites.

Download: <https://ajsonline.org/article/93038-late-ediacaran-to-early-cambrian-breakup-sequences-and-establishment-of-the-eastern-laurentian-passive-margin-newfoundland-canada/attachment/194889.pdf>

Table S1. Modal abundances (area%) of Labrador and Curling group rock samples, western Newfoundland.

Download: <https://ajsonline.org/article/93038-late-ediacaran-to-early-cambrian-breakup-sequences-and-establishment-of-the-eastern-laurentian-passive-margin-newfoundland-canada/attachment/194891.xlsx>

Table S2. Laser ablation methods, reference material values, and detrital zircon U-Pb and Hf isotope results for Labrador and Curling group rock samples.

Download: <https://ajsonline.org/article/93038-late-ediacaran-to-early-cambrian-breakup-sequences-and-establishment-of-the-eastern-laurentian-passive-margin-newfoundland-canada/attachment/194890.xlsx>

Table S3. (A) Detrital zircon U-Pb statistical assessment results for Labrador and Curling group rock samples. (B) Detrital zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope statistical assessment results for Labrador and Curling group rock samples.

Download: <https://ajsonline.org/article/93038-late-ediacaran-to-early-cambrian-breakup-sequences-and-establishment-of-the-eastern-laurentian-passive-margin-newfoundland-canada/attachment/194892.xlsx>
